Designs, Codes and Cryptography manuscript No.
(will be inserted by the editor)

Cyclic codes over $\mathbb{Z}_4[u]/\langle u^k\rangle$ of odd length

Yuan Cao[1] · **Qingguo Li**[1]

arXiv:1606.04601v2 [cs.IT] 16 Jun 2016

Received: date / Accepted: date

Abstract Let $R = \mathbb{Z}_4[u]/\langle u^k\rangle = \mathbb{Z}_4 + u\mathbb{Z}_4 + \ldots + u^{k-1}\mathbb{Z}_4$ $(u^k = 0)$ where $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ satisfies $k \geq 2$. For any odd positive integer n, it is known that cyclic codes over R of length n are identified with ideals of the ring $R[x]/\langle x^n - 1\rangle$. In this paper, an explicit representation for each cyclic code over R of length n is provided and a formula to count the number of codewords in each code is given. Then a formula to calculate the number of cyclic codes over R of length n is obtained. Precisely, the dual code of each cyclic code and self-dual cyclic codes over R of length n are investigated. When $k = 4$, some optimal quasi-cyclic codes over $\mathbb{Z}_4$ of length 28 and index 4 are obtained from cyclic codes over $R = \mathbb{Z}_4[u]/\langle u^4\rangle$.

Keywords Cyclic code · Finite chain ring · Non-principal ideal ring · Dual code · Self-dual code

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000) 94B05 · 94B15 · 11T71

1 Introduction and preliminaries

Cyclic codes are one of the most important and most intensively studied classes of linear codes with rich algebraic structure due to their representation as the ideals of a polynomial ring. Another class of codes that became the subject of much researcher in recent years is codes over rings. The structure of cyclic codes over various rings is investigated by many authors (e.g. [1], [3], [4], [10]).

Let $\mathbb{Z}_4 = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ be the residue class ring of the integer ring $\mathbb{Z}$ modulo 4. In [13], Yildiz and Karadeniz systematically studied MacWilliams identities,

Yuan Cao
E-mail: yuan_cao@hnu.edu.cn
Qingguo Li
E-mail: liqingguoli@aliyun.cn
[1] College of Mathematics and Econometrics, Hunan University, Changsha 410082, China

projections, and formally self-dual codes for linear codes over the ring $\mathbb{Z}_4+u\mathbb{Z}_4$ ($u^2=0$) and their application to real and complex lattices. In [14], Yildiz and Aydin investigated algebraic structure of cyclic codes over the ring $\mathbb{Z}_4+u\mathbb{Z}_4$. Making use of the structure of these cyclic codes, Yildiz and Aydin conducted a computer search and obtained some new linear codes over $\mathbb{Z}_4$. In [8], cyclic codes over $\mathbb{Z}_q+u\mathbb{Z}_q$ ($u^2=0$) are investigated where q is power of a prime.

In this paper, let $k\geq 2$ be an arbitrary integer and denote

$$\begin{aligned} R=\mathbb{Z}_4[u]/\langle u^k\rangle &= \{\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} a_i u^i \mid a_0,a_1,\ldots,a_{k-1}\in\mathbb{Z}_4\}\\ &= \mathbb{Z}_4+u\mathbb{Z}_4+\ldots+u^{k-1}\mathbb{Z}_4 \ (u^k=0)\end{aligned}$$

It is well-known that R is a finite commutative local ring with the maximal ideal $\langle 2,u\rangle=2R+uR$ and $R/\langle 2,u\rangle\cong\mathbb{F}_2$ which is a finite field of two elements.

For any positive integer n, let $R[x]/\langle x^n-1\rangle$ be the residue class ring of the polynomial ring $R[x]$ modulo its ideal $\langle x^n-1\rangle$ generated by x^n-1. Then every element of $R[x]/\langle x^n-1\rangle$ can be uniquely expressed as $a(x)+\langle x^n-1\rangle$ where $a(x)=\sum_{j=0}^{n-1}a_jx^j\in R[x]$ with $a_0,a_1,\ldots,a_{n-1}\in R$. As usual, we will identify $a(x)+\langle x^N-1\rangle$ with $a(x)$ for simplicity. Hence

$$R[x]/\langle x^n-1\rangle=\{\textstyle\sum_{j=0}^{n-1}a_jx^j \mid a_0,a_1,\ldots,a_{n-1}\in R\}$$

which is a ring with the operations defined by the usual polynomial operations modulo x^n-1. It is well-known that cyclic codes over R of length n are identified with ideals of the ring $R[x]/\langle x^n-1\rangle$, under the identification map $\theta: R^n\to R[x]/\langle x^n-1\rangle$ via $\theta:(a_0,a_1,\ldots,a_{n-1})\mapsto\sum_{j=0}^{n-1}a_jx^j$ ($\forall a_0,a_1,\ldots,a_{n-1}\in R$).

When $k\geq 3$ arbitrary, the following questions for cyclic codes over R have not been solved completely to the best of our knowledge:

$\diamondsuit$ For each cyclic code $\mathcal{C}$ over R of length N, give a unique representation for $\mathcal{C}$ and provide a clear formula to count the number of codewords in $\mathcal{C}$. Then obtain a formula to count the number of all such cyclic codes.

$\diamondsuit$ Using the representation of any cyclic code $\mathcal{C}$, give the representation of the dual code of $\mathcal{C}$ precisely and determine the self-duality of $\mathcal{C}$.

From now on, let $k\geq 2$ and n be an odd positive integer. We focus our attention to consider cyclic codes over R of length n in this paper.

The present paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we sketch the basic theory of Galois rings of characteristic 4. In Section 3, we provide an explicit representation for each cyclic code over R of length n and give a formula to count the number of codewords in each code. As a corollary, we obtain a formula for the number of all such cyclic codes. In Section 4, we determine the dual code of each cyclic code over R of length n and investigate self-duality for such codes. In Section 5, we provide a generator matrix for quasi-cyclic codes over $\mathbb{Z}_4$ of length 28 obtained from cyclic codes over $\mathbb{Z}_4[u]/\langle u^4\rangle$. Finally, we

list all 293687 cyclic codes and all 791 self-dual cyclic codes of length 7 over $\mathbb{Z}_4[u]/\langle u^4\rangle$, and give optimal quasi-cyclic codes over $\mathbb{Z}_4$ of length 28 and index 4 from these cyclic codes over $\mathbb{Z}_4[u]/\langle u^4\rangle$ in Section 6.

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we sketch the basic theory of Galois rings of characteristic 4, which are Galois extension rings of $\mathbb{Z}_4$. Readers are referred to Wan [11] and [12] for more details on Galois rings.

Let $\mathbb{Z}_4[x]$ be the polynomial ring in an indeterminate x over $\mathbb{Z}_4$ and $\mathbb{F}_2 = \{0, 1\}$ bing the field of two elements. It is well known that the map $^- : \mathbb{Z}_4 \to \mathbb{F}_2$ defined by $\overline{0} = \overline{2} = 0$ and $\overline{1} = \overline{3} = 1$ is a surjective ring homomorphism and can be naturally extended to a surjective ring isomorphism from $\mathbb{Z}_4[x]$ onto $\mathbb{F}_2[x]$ as follows:

$$^- : a(x) \mapsto \overline{a}(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{s} \overline{a}_i x^i \ (\forall a(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{s} a_i x^i \in \mathbb{Z}_4[x] \text{ where } a_i \in \mathbb{Z}_4). \quad (1)$$

Recall that a *basic irreducible* (*basic primitive*) monic polynomial $f(x)$ of degree d in $\mathbb{Z}_4[x]$ is a monic polynomial of degree d over $\mathbb{Z}_4$ such that $\overline{f}(x)$ is irreducible (primitive) over $\mathbb{F}_2$. In the following, let $f(x)$ be a fixed basic irreducible monic polynomial of degree d in $\mathbb{Z}_4[x]$. We consider the residue class ring

$$\mathcal{K} = \mathbb{Z}_4[x]/\langle f(x)\rangle$$

of $\mathbb{Z}_4[x]$ modulo its ideal $\langle f(x)\rangle$ generated by $f(x)$. $\mathcal{K}$ is a *Galois ring* of characteristic 4 and 4^d elements (cf. [11] Theorem 6.1). It is clear that each element α of $\mathcal{K}$ can be uniquely expressed as $\alpha = \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} a_i x^i$, $a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_{d-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_4$. In this paper, we set

$$\mathcal{K} = \{\sum_{i=0}^{d-1} a_i x^i \mid a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_{d-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_4\}. \quad (2)$$

For any $\beta = \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} b_i x^i$ where $b_0, b_1, \ldots, b_{d-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_4$, the addition $\alpha + \beta$ and multiplication $\alpha\beta$ of α and β in the ring $\mathcal{K}$ are given as follows:

$$\alpha + \beta = \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} (a_i + b_i) x^i, \ \alpha\beta \equiv (\sum_{i=0}^{d-1} a_i x^i)(\sum_{i=0}^{d-1} b_i x^i) \pmod{f(x)},$$

where $(\sum_{i=0}^{d-1} a_i x^i)(\sum_{i=0}^{d-1} b_i x^i)$ is the usual product of polynomials $\sum_{i=0}^{d-1} a_i x^i$ and $\sum_{i=0}^{d-1} b_i x^i$ in $\mathbb{Z}_4[x]$.

Similar, in this paper we set

$$\mathcal{F} = \mathbb{F}_2[x]/\langle \overline{f}(x)\rangle = \{\sum_{i=0}^{d-1} g_i x^i \mid g_0, g_1, \ldots, g_{d-1} \in \mathbb{F}_2\}, \quad (3)$$

which is a finite field of 2^d elements since $\overline{f}(x)$ is an irreducible polynomial of degree d in $\mathbb{F}_2[x]$. For any $\xi = \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} g_i x^i$ and $\eta = \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} h_i x^i$ where $g_i, h_i \in \mathbb{F}_2$ for all $i = 0, 1, \ldots, d-1$, the addition $\xi + \eta$ and multiplication $\xi\eta$ of ξ and η in the field $\mathcal{F}$ are given as follows:

$$\xi + \eta = \sum_{i=0}^{d-1}(g_i + h_i)x^i,\ \xi\eta \equiv (\sum_{i=0}^{d-1} g_i x^i)(\sum_{i=0}^{d-1} h_i x^i) \pmod{\overline{f}(x)},$$

where $(\sum_{i=0}^{d-1} g_i x^i)(\sum_{i=0}^{d-1} h_i x^i)$ is the usual product of polynomials in $\mathbb{F}_2[x]$.

Since the kernel of the surjective ring homomorphism $^-$ defined by Equation (1) is the ideal $2(\mathbb{Z}_4[x])$ and the image of $f(x)(\mathbb{Z}_4[x])$ under $^-$ is $\overline{f}(x)(\mathbb{F}_2[x])$, the ring homomorphism $^-$ induces a surjective ring homomorphism from $\mathcal{K}$ onto $\mathcal{F}$, which is still denoted by $^-$ in this paper. Precisely, we have

$$^- : \mathcal{K} \to \mathcal{F} \text{ via } a(x) \mapsto \overline{a}(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} \overline{a}_i x^i$$

for any $a(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} a_i x^i \in \mathcal{K}$ with $a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_{d-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_4$.

As $\mathbb{F}_2 = \{0, 1\}$ and $\mathbb{Z}_4 = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$, we can regard $\mathbb{F}_2$ as a subset of $\mathbb{Z}_4$. But it is well known that $\mathbb{F}_2$ is not a subring of $\mathbb{Z}_4$. For example, $1 + 1 = 0$ in $\mathbb{F}_2$ but $1 + 1 = 2 \neq 0$ in $\mathbb{Z}_4$. In this paper, we will regard $\mathbb{F}_2$ as a subset of $\mathbb{Z}_4$ for convenience. In this sense, each element of $\mathbb{Z}_4$ has the following 2-adic expansion uniquely:

$$t_0 + 2t_1, \text{ where } t_0, t_1 \in \mathbb{F}_2. \tag{4}$$

It is clear that $\overline{t_0 + 2t_1} = t_0$ for any $t_0, t_1 \in \mathbb{F}_2$.

Similarly, using Equations (2) and (3) we will regard $\mathcal{F}$ as a subset of $\mathcal{K}$ in this paper. However, readers need to notice that $\mathcal{F}$ is not a subring of $\mathcal{K}$. In this sense, by Equation (4) we conclude that each element of $\mathcal{K}$ has the following 2-adic expansion uniquely:

$$\xi_0 + 2\xi_1, \text{ where } \xi_0, \xi_1 \in \mathcal{F}.$$

It is clear that $\overline{\xi_0 + 2\xi_1} = \xi_0$ for any $\xi_0, \xi_1 \in \mathcal{F}$.

3 Representation for all distinct cyclic codes over R of length n

In this section, we investigate the structures of $R[x]/\langle x^n - 1\rangle$ first. Then give an explicit presentation for all distinct cyclic codes over R of length n. In the reset of this paper, we denote

- $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{Z}_4[x]/\langle x^n - 1\rangle = \{\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_i x^i \mid a_i \in \mathbb{Z}_4\}$,

which is a finite commutative ring with identity under the usual polynomial polynomial addition and multiplication modulo $x^n - 1$.

As $\mathcal{A}$ is a commutative ring with identity, we can form the polynomial ring

$$\mathcal{A}[u] = \{\sum_{j=0}^{s} \xi_j u^j \mid \xi_j \in \mathcal{A},\ j = 0, 1, \ldots, s,\ s \geq 0\},$$

where u is an indeterminate on $\mathcal{A}$. Let $\langle u^k\rangle = \mathcal{A}u^k$, which is the ideal of $\mathcal{A}[u]$ generated by u^k. Then we have the following residue class ring of $\mathcal{A}[u]$ modulo its ideal $\langle u^k\rangle$:

• $\mathcal{A}[u]/\langle u^k\rangle = \mathcal{A} + u\mathcal{A} + \ldots + u^{k-1}\mathcal{A} = \{\sum_{j=0}^{k-1}\xi_j u^j \mid \xi_j \in \mathcal{A},\ j = 0, 1, \ldots, k-1\}$ $(u^k = 0)$.

First, we build a relationship between rings $\mathcal{A}[u]/\langle u^k\rangle$ and $R[x]/\langle x^n - 1\rangle$. Let $\xi = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1}\xi_j u^j \in \mathcal{A}[u]/\langle u^k\rangle$ with $\xi_j \in \mathcal{A}$. As $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{Z}_4[x]/\langle x^n - 1\rangle$, each ξ_j is uniquely expressed as

$$\xi_j = a_{0,j} + a_{1,j}x + \ldots + a_{n-1,j}x^{n-1}$$

where $a_{i,j} \in \mathbb{Z}_4$ for all $i = 0, 1, \ldots, n-1$ and $j = 0, 1, \ldots, k-1$. Hence

$$\xi = (1, x, \ldots, x^{n-1}) \begin{pmatrix} a_{0,0} & a_{0,1} & \ldots & a_{0,k-1} \\ a_{1,0} & a_{1,1} & \ldots & a_{1,k-1} \\ \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\ a_{n-1,0} & a_{n-1,1} & \ldots & a_{n-1,k-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ u \\ \ldots \\ u^{k-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Define a natural map

• $\Psi : \mathcal{A}[u]/\langle u^k\rangle \to R[x]/\langle x^n - 1\rangle$ by

$$\Psi(\xi) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\alpha_i x^i, \text{ where } \alpha_i = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} a_{i,j}u^k \in R,\ i = 0, 1, \ldots, n-1.$$

Then by a direct calculation, one can easily verify the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1 *The map Ψ defined above is a ring isomorphism from $\mathcal{A}[u]/\langle u^k\rangle$ onto $R[x]/\langle x^n - 1\rangle$.*

By Lemma 3.1, $\mathcal{C}$ is a cyclic code over R of length n, i.e., $\mathcal{C}$ is an ideal of the ring $R[x]/\langle x^n - 1\rangle$ if and only if the is a unique ideal J of the ring $\mathcal{A}[u]/\langle u^k\rangle$ such that $\Psi(J) = \mathcal{C}$. Therefore, in order to determine all cyclic codes over R of length n, it is sufficient to determine all ideals of $\mathcal{A}[u]/\langle u^k\rangle$. To do this, we need to investigate the structure and properties of the ring $\mathcal{A}$.

As n is odd, by [11] Proposition 7.17(i) there are pairwise coprime monic basic irreducible polynomials $f_1(x), \ldots, f_r(x)$ in $\mathbb{Z}_4[x]$ such that

$$x^n - 1 = f_1(x) \ldots f_r(x).$$

Let $1 \le j \le r$. We assume $\deg(f_j(x)) = d_j$ and denote $F_j(x) = \frac{x^n-1}{f_j(x)} \in \mathbb{Z}_4[x]$. Then $F_j(x)$ and $f_j(x)$ are coprime in $\mathbb{Z}_4[x]$. By [11] Proposition 7.17(ii) there exist $v_j(x), w_j(x) \in \mathbb{Z}_4[x]$ such that

$$v_j(x)F_j(x) + w_j(x)f_j(x) = 1. \tag{5}$$

From now on, we denote

• $\mathcal{K}_j = \mathbb{Z}_4[x]/\langle f_j(x)\rangle = \{\sum_{i=0}^{d_i-1} a_i x^i \mid a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_{d_j-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_4\}$, which is a Galois ring of characteristic 4 and cardinality 4^{d_j} by Section 2.

• $e_j(x) \in \mathcal{A}$ defined by $e_j(x) \equiv v_j(x)F_j(x) = 1 - w_j(x)f_j(x) \pmod{x^n - 1}$.

Then by [11] Chapter 7 we have the following conclusions.

Lemma 3.2 *Using the notations above, we have the following*:

(i) (cf. [11] Theorem 7.14 (i) and (ii)) $e_1(x)+\ldots+e_r(x) = 1$, $e_j(x)^2 = e_j(x)$ *and* $e_j(x)e_l(x) = 0$ *in the ring* $\mathcal{A}$ *for all* $1 \le j \ne l \le r$.

(ii) (cf. [11] Theorem 7.14 (iii) and (iv)) $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus \mathcal{A}_r$ *where* $\mathcal{A}_j = \mathcal{A}e_j(x)$ *with* $e_j(x)$ *as its multiplicative identity and satisfies* $\mathcal{A}_j\mathcal{A}_l = \{0\}$ *for all* $1 \le j \ne l \le r$.

(iii) (cf. [11] Corollary 7.15) *For any integer* j, $1 \le j \le r$, *define* $\varphi_j : a(x) \mapsto e_j(x)a(x) \pmod{x^n - 1}$ *for any* $a(x) \in \mathcal{K}_j$. *Then* φ_j *is a ring isomorphism from* $\mathcal{K}_j$ *onto* $\mathcal{A}_j$. *Furthermore, the follow map*

$$\varphi(a_1(x), \ldots, a_r(x)) = \sum_{j=1}^{r} \varphi_j(a_j(x)) = \sum_{j=1}^{r} e_j(x)a_j(x) \pmod{x^n - 1}$$

$(\forall a_j(x) \in \mathcal{K}_j,\ j = 1, \ldots, r)$ *is a ring isomorphism from the direct product ring* $\mathcal{K}_1 \times \ldots \times \mathcal{K}_r$ *onto* $\mathcal{A}$.

(iv) (cf. [11] Section 7.2) *For any integer* j, $1 \le j \le r$, $\mathcal{A}_j = \mathcal{A}\frac{x^n-1}{f_j(x)}$ *which is a cyclic code over* $\mathbb{Z}_4$ *of length* n *with parity check polynomial* $f_j(x)$.

For each $1 \le j \le r$, let $\mathcal{K}_j[u]$ be the polynomial ring over the Galois ring with the indeterminate u, and denote the residue class ring of $\mathcal{K}_j[u]$ modulo its ideal generated by u^k as the following

• $\mathcal{K}_j[u]/\langle u^k\rangle = \mathcal{K}_j + u\mathcal{K}_j + \ldots + u^{k-1}\mathcal{K}_j$ $(u^k = 0)$.

Then we have the following conclusion for the relationship between ideals of rings $\mathcal{K}_j[u]/\langle u^k\rangle$, $1 \le j \le r$, and ideals of the ring $\mathcal{A}[u]/\langle u^k\rangle$.

Lemma 3.3 *Let* $\emptyset \ne C \subseteq \mathcal{A}[u]/\langle u^k\rangle$. *Then* C *is an ideal of* $\mathcal{A}[u]/\langle u^k\rangle$ *if and only if for each integer* j, $1 \le j \le r$, *there is a unique ideal* C_j *of the ring* $\mathcal{K}_j[u]/\langle u^k\rangle$ *such that*

$$C = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{r} e_j(x)C_j,$$

where $e_j(x)C_j = \{e_j(x)\beta_j \pmod{x^n - 1} \mid \beta_j \in C_j\} \subseteq \mathcal{A}[u]/\langle u^k\rangle$ *for all* $j = 1, \ldots, r$. *Moreover, the number of elements in* C *is equal to* $|C| = \prod_{j=1}^{r} |C_j|$.

Proof By Lemma 3.2(iii), the ring isomorphism φ from $\mathcal{K}_1 \times \ldots \times \mathcal{K}_r$ onto $\mathcal{A}$ induces a polynomial ring isomorphism Φ_0 from $\mathcal{K}_1[u] \times \ldots \times \mathcal{K}_r[u]$ onto $\mathcal{A}[u]$

in the natural way:

$$\Phi_0\left(\sum_i u^i a_{1,i}(x),\ldots,\sum_i u^i a_{r,i}(x)\right)=\sum_i u^i\varphi(a_{1,i}(x),\ldots,a_{r,i}(x))$$
$$=\sum_i u^i(e_1(x)a_{1,i}(x)+\ldots+e_r(x)a_{r,i}(x)\pmod{x^n-1})$$

for all $\sum_i u^i a_{1,i}(x)\in\mathcal{K}_1[u],\ldots,\sum_i u^i a_{r,i}(x)\in\mathcal{K}_r[u]$. Therefore, Φ_0 induces a ring isomorphism Φ from $(\mathcal{K}_1[u]/\langle u^k\rangle)\times\ldots\times\mathcal{K}_r[u]/\langle u^k\rangle$ onto $\mathcal{A}[u]/\langle u^k\rangle$ in the natural way:

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi(\beta_1,\ldots,\beta_r)&=\sum_{l=0}^{k-1}\varphi(a_{l,1}(x),\ldots,a_{l,r}(x))u^l\\&=\sum_{l=0}^{k-1}u^l\left(\sum_{j=1}^{r}e_j(x)a_{l,j}(x)\pmod{x^n-1}\right)\\&=e_1(x)\beta_1+\ldots+e_r(x)\beta_r(x)\pmod{x^n-1}.\end{aligned}$$

for any $\beta_j=\sum_{l=0}^{k-1}a_{l,j}(x)u^l\in\mathcal{K}_j[u]/\langle u^k\rangle$ where $a_{l,j}(x)\in\mathcal{K}_j$ for all $l=0,1,\ldots,k-1$ and $j=1,\ldots,r$.

By properties for the direct product of rings and isomorphic rings, we see that C is an ideal of $\mathcal{A}[u]/\langle u^k\rangle$ if and only if for each integer j, $1\le j\le r$, there is a unique ideal C_j of the ring $\mathcal{K}_j[u]/\langle u^k\rangle$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}C&=\Phi(C_1\times\ldots\times C_r)=\Phi\{(\beta_1,\ldots,\beta_r)\mid\beta_j\in C_j,\ j=1,\ldots,r\}\\&=\{\Phi(\beta_1,\ldots,\beta_r)\mid\beta_j\in C_j,\ j=1,\ldots,r\}\\&=\{\sum_{j=1}^{r}e_j(x)\beta_j\pmod{x^n-1}\mid\beta_j\in C_j,\ j=1,\ldots,r\}\\&=\bigoplus_{j=1}^{r}e_j(x)C_j\pmod{x^n-1}.\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, the elements contained in C is equal to $|C|=|C_1\times\ldots\times C_r|=\prod_{j=0}^{r}|C_j|$. □

Next, we give a direct sum decomposition for any cyclic code over R of length n.

Theorem 3.4 *Let $\emptyset\neq\mathcal{C}\subseteq R[x]/\langle x^n-1\rangle$. Then $\mathcal{C}$ is a cyclic code over R of length n if and only if for each integer j, $1\le j\le r$, there is a unique ideal C_j of the ring $\mathcal{K}_j[u]/\langle u^k\rangle$ such that*

$$\mathcal{C}=\bigoplus_{j=1}^{r}\Psi(e_j(x)C_j).$$

Moreover, the number of codewords in $\mathcal{C}$ is equal to $|\mathcal{C}|=\prod_{j=0}^{r}|C_j|$.

Proof By Lemmas 3.1, Ψ is a ring isomorphism from $\mathcal{A}[u]/\langle u^k\rangle$ onto $R[x]/\langle x^n-1\rangle$. Hence every cyclic code $\mathcal{C}$ over R of length n, i.e., $\mathcal{C}$ is an ideal of $R[x]/\langle x^n-1\rangle$, can be uniquely expressed as

$$\mathcal{C}=\Psi(C), \text{ where } C \text{ is an ideal of } \mathcal{A}[u]/\langle u^k\rangle.$$

Then the conclusion follows from Lemma 3.3 immediately. □

Remark Using the notations of Theorem 3.4, $\mathcal{C}=\oplus_{j=1}^{r}\Psi(e_j(x)C_j)$, where C_j is an ideal of $\mathcal{K}_j[u]/\langle u^k\rangle$ for $j=1,\ldots,r$, is called a *canonical form decomposition* of the cyclic code $\mathcal{C}$ over R of length n.

Now, we consider how to determine the ideals of $\mathcal{K}_j[u]/\langle u^k\rangle$ for all $j=1,\ldots,r$. Let $1\le j\le r$. In the rest of this paper, we adopt the following notations:

• $\mathcal{F}_j=\mathbb{F}_2[x]/\langle\overline{f}_j(x)\rangle=\{\sum_{l=0}^{d_j-1}g_lx^l \mid g_0,g_1,\ldots,g_{d_j-1}\in\mathbb{F}_2\}$, which is a finite field of 2^{d_j} elements since $\overline{f}_j(x)$ is an irreducible polynomial over $\mathbb{F}_2$ of degree d_j.

• $\mathcal{F}_j[u]/\langle u^k\rangle=\{\sum_{i=0}^{k-1}b_i(x)u^i \mid b_0(x),b_1(x),\ldots,b_{k-1}(x)\in\mathcal{F}_j\}=\mathcal{F}_j+u\mathcal{F}_j+\ldots+u^{k-1}\mathcal{F}_j$ $(u^k=0)$.

The following lemma follows from polynomial theory over finite fields and finite chain ring theory (cf. Norton et al. [9]) immediately. Here, we omit its proof.

Lemma 3.5 *Using the notations above, for any $1\le j\le r$ and $1\le s\le k$ we have the following*:

(i) $\mathcal{F}_j[u]/\langle u^s\rangle=\mathcal{F}_j+u\mathcal{F}_j+\ldots+u^{s-1}\mathcal{F}_j$ $(u^s=0)$ *is a finite commutative chain ring with the unique maximal ideal $u(\mathcal{F}_j[u]/\langle u^s\rangle)$, the nilpotency index of u is equal to s and the residue class field of $\mathcal{F}_j[u]/\langle u^s\rangle$ is $(\mathcal{F}_j[u]/\langle u^s\rangle)/u(\mathcal{F}_j[u]/\langle u^s\rangle)$ which is isomorphic to $\mathcal{F}_j$.*

(ii) *Every element α of $\mathcal{F}_j[u]/\langle u^s\rangle$ has a unique u-adic expansion*:

$$\alpha=t_0(x)+ut_1(x)+\ldots+u^{s-1}t_{s-1}(x),\ t_0(x),t_1(x),\ldots,t_{s-1}(x)\in\mathcal{F}_j.$$

(iii) *All distinct ideals of $\mathcal{F}_j[u]/\langle u^s\rangle$ are given by:*

$$u^l(\mathcal{F}_j[u]/\langle u^s\rangle)=\{\sum_{i=l}^{s-1}b_i(x)u^i \mid b_l(x),\ldots,b_{s-1}(x)\in\mathcal{F}_j\},\ 0\le l\le s.$$

Moreover, we have $|u^l(\mathcal{F}_j[u]/\langle u^s\rangle)|=|\mathcal{F}_j|^{s-l}=2^{d_j(s-l)}$ for all l.

(iv) *The group of invertible elements of $\mathcal{F}_j[u]/\langle u^s\rangle$ is given by*

$$(\mathcal{F}_j[u]/\langle u^s\rangle)^\times=\{\sum_{l=0}^{s-1}b_l(x)u^l \mid b_0(x)\neq 0,b_0(x),b_1(x),\ldots,b_{s-1}(x)\in\mathcal{F}_j\}$$

and $|(\mathcal{F}_j[u]/\langle u^s\rangle)^\times|=(2^{d_j}-1)2^{(s-1)d_j}$.

(v) *For any nonzero element α of $\mathcal{F}_j[u]/\langle u^s\rangle$ there is a unique integer i, $0\le i\le s-1$, such that $\alpha=u^i\xi$ for some $\xi\in(\mathcal{F}_j[u]/\langle u^s\rangle)^\times$.*

As stated in Section 2, we will regard $\mathcal{F}_j$ as a subset of the Galois ring $\mathcal{K}_j$ (but $\mathcal{F}_j$ is not a subring of $\mathcal{K}_j$) for convenience. Then each element of ring $\mathcal{K}_j$ has the following 2-adic expansion uniquely:

$$\xi_0 + 2\xi_1, \text{ where } \xi_0, \xi_1 \in \mathcal{F}_j.$$

Moreover, we have $\overline{\xi_0 + 2\xi_1} = \xi_0$ for any $\xi_0, \xi_1 \in \mathcal{F}_j$.

Similarly, we will regard $\mathcal{F}_j[u]/\langle u^k\rangle$ as a subset of $\mathcal{K}_j[u]/\langle u^k\rangle$ in this paper (but $\mathcal{F}_j[u]/\langle u^k\rangle$ is not a subring of $\mathcal{K}_j[u]/\langle u^k\rangle$). Then one can easily deduce that each element of $\mathcal{K}_j[u]/\langle u^k\rangle$ has the following 2-adic expansion uniquely:

$$\eta_0 + 2\eta_1, \text{ where } \eta_0, \eta_1 \in \mathcal{F}_j[u]/\langle u^k\rangle. \tag{6}$$

Moreover, we define a natural map

- $\tau : \mathcal{K}_j[u]/\langle u^k\rangle \to \mathcal{F}_j[u]/\langle u^k\rangle$ via $\tau(\eta_0 + 2\eta_1) = \eta_0$ $(\forall \eta_0, \eta_1 \in \mathcal{F}_j[u]/\langle u^k\rangle)$

Then τ is a surjective ring homomorphism from $\mathcal{K}_j[u]/\langle u^k\rangle$ onto $\mathcal{F}_j[u]/\langle u^k\rangle$ with kernel $\mathrm{Ker}(\tau) = 2(\mathcal{K}_j[u]/\langle u^k\rangle)$.

Let $\xi \in \mathcal{F}_j[u]/\langle u^k\rangle$ and $2\eta \in 2(\mathcal{K}_j[u]/\langle u^k\rangle)$ where $\eta \in \mathcal{F}_j[u]/\langle u^k\rangle$. We calculate the product $\xi\eta$ of ξ and η in the ring $\mathcal{F}_j[u]/\langle u^k\rangle$. Also, we can regard $\xi\eta$ as an element of $\mathcal{K}_j[u]/\langle u^k\rangle$. Then by Equation (6), we obtain a unique element $2(\xi\eta)$ in $2(\mathcal{K}_j[u]/\langle u^k\rangle)$. Now, we define

$$\xi \cdot 2\eta = 2(\xi\eta) \in 2(\mathcal{K}_j[u]/\langle u^k\rangle). \tag{7}$$

Especially, we have $\xi \cdot 2 = 2\xi \in 2(\mathcal{K}_j[u]/\langle u^k\rangle)$ if $\eta = 1$. Then $2(\mathcal{K}_j[u]/\langle u^k\rangle)$ is an $\mathcal{F}_j[u]/\langle u^k\rangle$-module. Moreover, we have

Lemma 3.6 *With the scalar multiplication defined by Equation* (7)*, the map* ψ_j *defined by*

$$\psi_j(\xi) = 2\xi \in \mathcal{K}_j[u]/\langle u^k\rangle \ (\forall \xi \in \mathcal{F}_j[u]/\langle u^k\rangle)$$

is an $\mathcal{F}_j[u]/\langle u^k\rangle$*-module isomorphism from* $\mathcal{F}_j[u]/\langle u^k\rangle$ *onto* $2(\mathcal{K}_j[u]/\langle u^k\rangle)$.

Proof By Equation (6), every element ϑ of $\mathcal{K}_j[u]/\langle u^k\rangle$ is uniquely expressed as $\vartheta = \eta_0 + 2\eta_1$ where $\eta_0, \eta_1 \in \mathcal{F}_j[u]/\langle u^k\rangle$. Here we regard $\mathcal{F}_j[u]/\langle u^k\rangle$ as a subset of $\mathcal{K}_j[u]/\langle u^k\rangle$. Then by $4 = 0$ in $\mathcal{K}_j[u]/\langle u^k\rangle$, it follows that $2\vartheta = 2\eta_0$, which implies $\psi_j(\eta_0) = 2\vartheta$. Hence the map ψ_j is a bijection.

For any $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in \mathcal{F}_j[u]/\langle u^k\rangle$, let $\xi_1 + \xi_2 = \alpha$ and $\xi_1\xi_2 = \beta$ as elements of the ring $\mathcal{F}_j[u]/\langle u^k\rangle$. Now, we regard both ξ_1 and ξ_2 as elements of $\mathcal{K}_j[u]/\langle u^k\rangle$ and assume $\xi_1 + \xi_2 = \zeta$ and $\xi_1\xi_2 = \eta$ as elements of the ring $\mathcal{K}_j[u]/\langle u^k\rangle$. Since τ is a surjective ring homomorphism from $\mathcal{K}_j[u]/\langle u^k\rangle$ onto $\mathcal{F}_j[u]/\langle u^k\rangle$, by Equation (6) and the definition of τ we have $\tau(\zeta) = \alpha$ and $\tau(\eta) = \beta$, which imply $\zeta = \alpha + 2\alpha_1$ and $\eta = \beta + 2\beta_1$ for some $\alpha_1, \beta_1 \in \mathcal{F}_j[u]/\langle u^k\rangle$. By $4 = 0$ in $\mathcal{K}_j[u]/\langle u^k\rangle$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_j(\xi_1 + \xi_2) &= \psi_j(\alpha) = 2\alpha = 2(\alpha + 2\alpha_1) = 2\zeta = 2(\xi_1 + \xi_2)\\ &= 2\xi_1 + 2\xi_2 = \psi_j(\xi_1) + \psi_j(\xi_2)\end{aligned}$$

and $\psi_j(\xi_1\xi_2) = 2\beta = 2(\beta + 2\beta_1) = 2\eta = 2(\xi_1\xi_2) = \xi_1(2\xi_2) = \xi_1\psi_j(\xi_2)$. Hence ψ_j is an $\mathcal{F}_j[u]/\langle u^k\rangle$-module isomorphism from $\mathcal{F}_j[u]/\langle u^k\rangle$ onto $2(\mathcal{K}_j[u]/\langle u^k\rangle)$. □

Remark By Lemma 3.6, every element of $2(\mathcal{K}_j[u]/\langle u^k\rangle)$ can be uniquely expressed as: 2ξ, $\xi \in \mathcal{F}_j[u]/\langle u^k\rangle$, where we regard ξ in the expression 2ξ as an element of $\mathcal{K}_j[u]/\langle u^k\rangle$ in the rest of this paper.

Then we present all distinct ideals of the ring $\mathcal{K}_j[u]/\langle u^k\rangle$ $(1 \le j \le r)$.

Theorem 3.7 *Using the notations above, all distinct ideals of $\mathcal{K}_j[u]/\langle u^k\rangle$ are given by the following table*:

case	number of ideals	C_j (ideal of $\mathcal{K}_j[u]/\langle u^k\rangle$)	$\lvert C_j\rvert$
I.	$k+1$	• $\langle u^i\rangle$ $(0 \le i \le k)$	$2^{2d_j(k-i)}$
II.	k	• $\langle 2u^s\rangle$ $(0 \le s \le k-1)$	$2^{d_j(k-s)}$
III.	$\Omega_1(2^{d_j}, k)$	• $\langle u^i + 2u^t h(x)\rangle$ $(h(x) \in (\mathcal{F}_j[u]/\langle u^{i-t}\rangle)^\times$, $t \ge 2i-k$, $0 \le t < i \le k-1)$	$2^{2d_j(k-i)}$
IV.	$\Omega_2(2^{d_j}, k)$	• $\langle u^i + 2u^t h(x)\rangle$ $(h(x) \in (\mathcal{F}_j[u]/\langle u^{k-i}\rangle)^\times$, $t < 2i-k$, $0 \le t < i \le k-1)$	$2^{d_j(k-t)}$
V.	$\frac{1}{2}k(k-1)$	• $\langle u^i, 2u^s\rangle$ $(0 \le s < i \le k-1)$	$2^{d_j(2k-(i+s))}$
VI.	$(2^{d_j}-1)$ $\cdot\Gamma(2^{d_j}, k)$	• $\langle u^i + 2u^t h(x), 2u^s\rangle$ $(h(x) \in (\mathcal{F}_j[u]/\langle u^{s-t}\rangle)^\times$, $i+s \le k+t-1$, $0 \le t < s < i \le k-1)$	$2^{d_j(2k-(i+s))}$

where

$$\diamond\ \Omega_1(2^{d_j}, k) = \begin{cases} \frac{2^{d_j(\frac{k}{2}+1)} + 2^{d_j}\cdot\frac{k}{2} - 2}{2^{d_j}-1} - (k+1), \text{ if } k \text{ is even;} \\ \frac{2(2^{d_j}\cdot\frac{k+1}{2} - 1)}{2^{d_j}-1} - (k+1), \qquad \text{if } k \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

$$\diamond\ \Omega_2(2^{d_j}, k) = \begin{cases} (2^{d_j}-1)\sum_{i=\frac{k}{2}+1}^{k-1}(2i-k)2^{d_j(k-i-1)}, \text{ if } k \text{ is even;} \\ (2^{d_j}-1)\sum_{i=\frac{k+1}{2}}^{k-1}(2i-k)2^{d_j(k-i-1)}, \text{ if } k \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

$\diamond$ $\Gamma(2^{d_j}, k)$ *can be calculated by the following recurrence formula*:

$\Gamma(2^{d_j}, \rho) = 0$ *for* $\rho = 1, 2, 3$, $\Gamma(2^{d_j}, \rho) = 1$ *for* $\rho = 4$;

$\Gamma(2^{d_j}, \rho) = \Gamma(2^{d_j}, \rho-1) + \sum_{s=1}^{\lfloor\frac{\rho}{2}\rfloor-1}(\rho - 2s - 1)2^{d_j(s-1)}$ *for* $\rho \ge 5$.

Therefore, the number $N_{(2,d_j,k)}$ of all distinct ideals of the ring $\mathcal{K}_j[u]/\langle u^k\rangle$ is equal to

$$N_{(2,d_j,k)} = \begin{cases} \sum_{i=0}^{\rho}(1+4i)2^{(\rho-i)d_j} \text{ if } k = 2\rho; \\ \sum_{i=0}^{\rho}(3+4i)2^{(\rho-i)d_j} \text{ if } k = 2\rho+1. \end{cases}$$

Proof In order to simplify the notations, we denote $\mathcal{Q}_j = \mathcal{K}_j[u]/\langle u^k\rangle$ and $\mathcal{R}_j = \mathcal{F}_j[u]/\langle u^k\rangle$. By Lemma 3.5(i), $\mathcal{R}_j$ is a finite chain ring with maximal ideal $u\mathcal{R}_j$, the nilpotency index of u is equal to k and the residue field of $\mathcal{R}_j$

is $\mathcal{R}_j/(u\mathcal{R}_j) \cong \mathcal{F}_j$. Then by finite chain ring theory (cf. [9]) and $|\mathcal{F}_j| = 2^{d_j}$, we deduce that all ideals of $\mathcal{R}_j$ are given by

$$\{0\} = u^k\mathcal{R}_j \subset u^{k-1}\mathcal{R}_j \subset \ldots \subset u\mathcal{R}_j \subset u^0\mathcal{R}_j = \mathcal{R}_j$$

and $|u^l\mathcal{R}_j| = |\mathcal{F}_j|^{k-l} = 2^{d_j(k-l)}$. Moreover, we have $2\mathcal{Q}_j = 2\mathcal{R}_j$ by Lemma 3.6 where we regard $\mathcal{R}_j$ as a subset of $\mathcal{Q}_j$.

Let C be any ideal of $\mathcal{Q}_j$. We define

$$\mathrm{Tor}_0(C) = \tau(C),\ \mathrm{Tor}_1(C) = \tau(\{\alpha \in \mathcal{Q}_j \mid 2\alpha \in C\}).$$

Since τ is a surjective ring homomorphism from $\mathcal{Q}_j$ onto $\mathcal{R}_j$, we see that both $\mathrm{Tor}_0(C)$ and $\mathrm{Tor}_1(C)$ are ideals of $\mathcal{R}_j$ satisfying $\mathrm{Tor}_0(C) \subseteq \mathrm{Tor}_1(C)$. Hence there is a unique pair (i, s) of integers, $0 \le s \le i \le k$, such that

$$\mathrm{Tor}_0(C) = u^i\mathcal{R}_j \text{ and } \mathrm{Tor}_1(C) = u^s\mathcal{R}_j.$$

Let $\tau|_C$ be the restriction of τ to C. Then $\tau|_C$ is a surjective ring homomorphism from C onto $\mathrm{Tor}_0(C)$ with kernel $\mathrm{Ker}(\tau|_C) = 2\mathrm{Tor}_1(C)$, which implies $|\mathrm{Ker}(\tau|_C)| = |\mathrm{Tor}_1(C)|$. Hence we deduce that

$$|C| = |\mathrm{Tor}_0(C)||\mathrm{Tor}_1(C)| = |u^i\mathcal{R}_j||u^s\mathcal{R}_j| = 2^{d_j(2k-(i+s))} \tag{8}$$

by the ring isomorphism theorems and Lemma 3.5(iii). Then we have the following cases.

Case (i) $s = i$. In this case, we have $|C| = 2^{2d_j(k-i)}$ by Equation (8).

(i-1) When $s = k$, then $i = k$ and $C = \{0\} = u^k\mathcal{Q}_j = \langle u^k\rangle$.

(i-2) Let $0 \le i \le k-1$. By $u^i \in u^i\mathcal{R}_j = \mathrm{Tor}_0(C)$, there exists $\alpha \in \mathcal{Q}_j$ such that $u^i + 2\alpha \in C$. Then by Lemma 3.6 we can assume that $\alpha \in \mathcal{R}_j$.

It is obvious that $\langle u^i + 2\alpha\rangle = \mathcal{Q}_j(u^i + 2\alpha) \subseteq C$. Conversely, let $\xi \in C$. Since $\mathrm{Tor}_0(C) = u^i\mathcal{R}_j$, by Equation (6) and Lemma 3.6 there exist $\beta, \gamma \in \mathcal{R}_j$ such that $\xi = u^i\beta + 2\gamma$, which implies $2(\gamma - \alpha\beta) = \xi - (u^i + 2\alpha)\beta \in C$ and so $\tau(\gamma - \alpha\beta) \in \mathrm{Tor}_1(C) = u^i\mathcal{R}_j$. Hence $\tau(\gamma - \alpha\beta) = u^i\delta$ for some $\delta \in \mathcal{R}_j$. From this and by $\mathrm{Ker}(\tau) = 2\mathcal{Q}_j$, we deduce that $\gamma - \alpha\beta = u^i\delta + 2w$ for some $w \in \mathcal{Q}_j$, which implies $2\gamma = 2(\alpha\beta + u^i\delta + 2w) = 2\alpha\beta + 2u^i\delta$. Therefore, $\xi = (u^i + 2\alpha)\beta + (u^i + 2\alpha)\cdot 2\delta = (u^i + 2\alpha)(\beta + 2\delta) \in \langle u^i + 2\alpha\rangle$. Hence

$$C = \langle u^i + 2\alpha\rangle.$$

(i-2-1) When $i = 0$, $1 + 2\alpha \in C$. By $(1 + 2\alpha)(1 + 2\alpha) = 1$ in $\mathcal{Q}_j$, we see that $1 + 2\alpha$ is an invertible element of $\mathcal{Q}_j$, which implies $C = \mathcal{Q}_j = \langle u^0\rangle$.

(i-2-2) Let $1 \le i \le k-1$.

Since $\mathrm{Tor}_1(C) = u^i\mathcal{R}_j$, we have $2u^i = 2(u^i + 2\alpha) \in C$. As $\alpha \in \mathcal{R}_j$, by Lemma 3.5(v) we can write α as $\alpha = u^i\beta$ or $\alpha = u^t h + u^i\beta$ for some $\beta \in \mathcal{R}_j$, $0 \le t \le i-1$ and $h \in \mathcal{R}_j^\times$.

$\diamondsuit$ When $\alpha = u^i\beta$, we have $u^i = u^i + 2\alpha - (2u^i)\beta \in C$, and so $C = \langle u^i\rangle$.

$\diamondsuit$ Let $\alpha = u^t h + u^i\beta$. Then $u^i + 2u^t h = u^i + 2\alpha - (2u^i)\beta \in C$, and so

$$C = \langle u^i + 2u^t h\rangle.$$

In this case, by $u^k = 0$ we have $2u^{k-i+t} = u^{k-i}h^{-1}(u^i + 2u^t h) \in C$ where h^{-1} is the inverse of h in $\mathcal{R}_j$. Therefore, $u^{k-i+t} \in \mathrm{Tor}_1(C) = u^i\mathcal{R}_j$, which implies $k - i + t \geq i$, and hence $t \geq 2i - k$.

Now, let $h_1, h_2 \in \mathcal{R}_j^\times$ and $0 \leq t_1, t_2 \leq k-1$ satisfying $C = \langle u^i + 2u^{t_1}h_1\rangle = \langle u^i + 2u^{t_2}h_2\rangle$. Then $2(u^{t_1}h_1 - u^{t_2}h_2) = (u^i + 2u^{t_1}h_1) - (u^i + 2u^{t_2}h_2) \in C$, which implies $u^{t_1}h_1 - u^{t_2}h_2 \in \mathrm{Tor}_1(C) = u^i\mathcal{R}_j$. From this we deduce that $t_1 = t_2 = t$ and $u^t(h_1 - h_2) \in u^i\mathcal{R}_j$. Then by finite chain ring theory (cf. [9]) and $u^t(h_1 - h_2) \in u^i\mathcal{R}_j$, it follows that $h_1 \equiv h_2 \pmod{u^{i-t}}$, i.e., $h_1 = h_2$ as elements of $(\mathcal{R}_j/(u^{i-t}\mathcal{R}_j)^\times$. Finally, by $\mathcal{R}_j = \mathcal{F}_j[u]/\langle u^k\rangle$ we have $(\mathcal{R}_j/(u^{i-t}\mathcal{R}_j)^\times = \mathcal{F}_j[u]/\langle u^{i-t}\rangle$ up to a natural ring isomorphism.

As stated above, we conclude that all distinct ideals C of $\mathcal{Q}_j$ satisfying $\mathrm{Tor}_0(C) = \mathrm{Tor}_1(C) = u^i\mathcal{R}_j$ are given by (I) and (III) in the table.

Case (ii) $i = k$ and $0 \leq s \leq k-1$.

In this case, we have $\mathrm{Tor}_0(C) = \{0\}$ and $|C| = 2^{d_j(2k-(k+s))} = 2^{d_j(k-s)}$ by Equation (8). Moreover, by $\mathrm{Tor}_1(C) = u^s\mathcal{R}_j$ it can be easily verified that all distinct ideals of $\mathcal{Q}_j$ in this case are given by: (II) $C = \langle 2u^s\rangle$ where $0 \leq s \leq k-1$.

Case (iii) $s = 0$ and $1 \leq i \leq k-1$.

In this case, we have $|C| = 2^{d_j(2k-i)}$ by Equation (8). Moreover, by $1 \in \mathrm{Tor}_1(C) = u^0\mathcal{R}_j$ we conclude that $2 = 2u^0 \in C$. Then by $\mathrm{Tor}_0(C) = u^i\mathcal{R}_j$ it follows that $C = \langle u^i, 2\rangle$ immediately.

Case (iv) $1 \leq s < i \leq k-1$. In this case, $|C| = 2^{d_j(2k-(i+s))}$ by (8).

By $\mathrm{Tor}_0(C) = u^i\mathcal{R}_j$ and $\mathrm{Tor}_1(C) = u^s\mathcal{R}_j$ we have $2u^s \in C$ and there exists $\alpha \in \mathcal{R}_j$ such that $u^i + 2\alpha \in C$, which implies $\langle u^i + 2\alpha, 2u^s\rangle \subseteq C$. Conversely, let $\xi \in C$. By $\mathrm{Tor}_0(C) = u^i\mathcal{R}_j$ there exist $\beta, \gamma \in \mathcal{R}_j$ such that $\xi = u^i\beta + 2\gamma$. Then from $2(\gamma - \alpha\beta) = \xi - (u^i + 2\alpha)\beta \in C$, we deduce $\tau(\gamma - \alpha\beta) \in \mathrm{Tor}_1(C) = u^s\mathcal{R}_j$, which implies $\gamma - \alpha\beta = u^s\delta + 2w$ for some $\delta, w \in \mathcal{R}_j$. By $2\gamma = 2\alpha\beta + 2u^s\delta$, it follows that $\xi = (u^i + 2\alpha)\beta + (2u^s)\delta \in \langle u^i + 2\alpha, 2u^s\rangle$. Hence

$$C = \langle u^i + 2\alpha, 2u^s\rangle.$$

Furthermore, by $2u^s \in C$ and an argument similar to the proof of Case (i) we can assume that $\alpha = 0$ or $\alpha = u^t h$, where $h \in \mathcal{R}_j^\times$ and $0 \leq t \leq s-1$.

(iv-1) When $\alpha = 0$, $C = \langle u^i, 2u^s\rangle$ which is given by (V) in the table.

(iv-2) Let $C = \langle u^i + 2u^t h, 2u^s\rangle$ where $h \in \mathcal{R}_j^\times$ and $0 \leq t \leq s-1$.

Assume $C = \langle u^i + 2u^{t_1}h_1, 2u^s\rangle = \langle u^i + 2u^{t_2}h_2, 2u^s\rangle$ where $0 \leq t_1, t_2 \leq s-1$ and $h_1, h_2 \in \mathcal{R}^\times$. Then $2(u^{t_1}h_1 - u^{t_2}h_2) = (u^i + 2u^{t_1}h_1) - (u^i + 2u^{t_2}h_2) \in C$, which implies $u^{t_1}h_1 - u^{t_2}h_2 \in \mathrm{Tor}_1(C) = u^s\mathcal{R}_j$. From this and by an argument similar to the proof of Case (i), we deduce that $t_1 = t_2 = t$ and $h_1 \equiv h_2 \pmod{u^{s-t}\mathcal{R}_j}$. By the latter condition, we have $h = h_1 = h_2 \in (\mathcal{R}_j/(u^{s-t}\mathcal{R}_j))^\times$. From this and by $\mathcal{R}_j/(u^{s-t}\mathcal{R}_j) = \mathcal{F}_j[u]/\langle u^{s-t}\rangle$, we deduce that all distinct ideals of $\mathcal{Q}_j$ in this case are given by:

$$C = \langle u^i + 2u^t h, 2u^s\rangle \text{ where } h \in (\mathcal{F}_j[u]/\langle u^{s-t}\rangle)^\times.$$

As $2u^{k-i+t} = u^{k-i}h^{-1}(u^i+2u^th) \in C$, we have $u^{k-i+t} \in \mathrm{Tor}_1(C) = u^s\mathcal{R}_j$, which implies $k-i+t \geq s$. So we have one of the following two cases:

($\diamondsuit$-1) $k-i+t = s$, i.e., $s-t = k-i$.

In this case, by $2u^s = u^{k-i}h^{-1}(u^i+2u^th)$ we have $C = \langle u^i+2u^th\rangle$ and $2i > i+s = k+t$, i.e., $t < 2i-k$.

Furthermore, we have $h \in (\mathcal{F}_j[u]/\langle u^{k-i}\rangle)^\times$ and $|C| = 2^{d_j(2k-(i+s))} = 2^{d_j(2k-(k+t))} = 2^{d_j(k-t)}$. Hence C is given by (IV) in the table.

($\diamondsuit$-2) $k-i+t > s$, i.e., $i+s \leq k+t-1$. In this case, C is given by (VI) in the table.

As stated above, we conclude that all distinct ideals and the number of elements in each ideal of $\mathcal{Q}_j$ are given by (I)–(VI) of the table.

It is obvious that the number of ideals in (I), (II) and (V) is equal to $k+1$, k and $\frac{1}{2}k(k-1)$ respectively. Then we count the number of ideals in (III), (IV) and (V) respectively. In order to simplify the notation, we denote $q = 2^{d_j}$ in the following.

First, we count the number of ideals in (III). Let $0 \leq t < i \leq k-1$ and $t \geq 2i-k$. By Lemma 3.5(iv), it follows that $|(\mathcal{F}_j[u]/\langle u^{i-t}\rangle)^\times| = (2^{d_j}-1)(2^{d_j})^{(i-t)-1} = (q-1)q^{i-t-1}$. Then we have one of the following two cases:

($\diamondsuit$-1) k is even. In this case, $t \geq 2i-k$ if and only if i and t satisfy one of the following two conditions:

($\diamondsuit$-1-1) $i \leq \frac{k}{2}$, i.e., $2i \leq k$, and $0 \leq t \leq i-1$. In this case, the number of ideals is equal to

$$N_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{\frac{k}{2}}\sum_{t=0}^{i-1}|(\mathcal{F}_j[u]/\langle u^{i-t}\rangle)^\times| = \sum_{i=1}^{\frac{k}{2}}\sum_{t=0}^{i-1}(q-1)q^{i-t-1} = \frac{q^{\frac{k}{2}+1}-1}{q-1} - (\frac{k}{2}+1).$$

($\diamondsuit$-1-2) $i \geq \frac{k}{2}+1$, i.e., $2i > k$, and $2i-k \leq t \leq i-1$. In this case, the number of ideals is equal to

$$N_2 = \sum_{i=1}^{\frac{k}{2}}\sum_{t=0}^{i-1}|(\mathcal{F}_j[u]/\langle u^{i-t}\rangle)^\times| = \sum_{i=\frac{k}{2}+1}^{k-1}\sum_{t=2i-k}^{i-1}(q-1)q^{i-t-1} = \frac{q^{\frac{k}{2}}-1}{q-1} - \frac{k}{2}.$$

Therefore, the number of ideals in (III) is equal to $\Omega_1(q,k) = N_1+N_2 = \frac{q^{\frac{k}{2}+1}+q^{\frac{k}{2}}-2}{q-1} - (k+1)$, where k is even.

($\diamondsuit$-2) k is odd. In this case, $t \geq 2i-k$ if and only if i and t satisfy one of the following two conditions:

($\diamondsuit$-2-1) $i \leq \frac{k-1}{2}$, i.e., $2i \leq k$, and $0 \leq t \leq i-1$. Then the number of ideals is equal to $N_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{\frac{k-1}{2}}\sum_{t=0}^{i-1}(q-1)q^{i-t-1} = \frac{q^{\frac{k+1}{2}}-1}{q-1} - \frac{k+1}{2}$.

($\diamondsuit$-2-2) $i \geq \frac{k-1}{2}+1$, i.e., $2i > k$, and $2i-k \leq t \leq i-1$. Then the number of ideals is equal to $N_2 = \sum_{i=\frac{k-1}{2}+1}^{k-1}\sum_{t=2i-k}^{i-1}(q-1)q^{i-t-1} = \frac{q^{\frac{k+1}{2}}-1}{q-1} - \frac{k+1}{2}$.

Therefore, the number of ideals in (III) is equal to $\Omega_1(q,k) = N_1+N_2 = \frac{2q^{\frac{k+1}{2}}-2}{q-1} - (k+1)$, where k is odd.

Next we count the number of ideals in (IV). Let $0 \le t < i \le k-1$ and $t < 2i-k$. By Lemma 3.5(iv), it follows that $|(\mathcal{F}_j[u]/\langle u^{k-i}\rangle)^\times| = (q-1)q^{k-i-1}$. Then we have one of the following two cases:

($\diamondsuit$-1) k is even. Then $t < 2i-k$ if and only if $2i > k$, i.e., $i \ge \frac{k}{2}+1$, and $0 \le t \le 2i-k-1$. Hence the number of ideals in (IV) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega_2(q,k) &= \sum_{i=\frac{k}{2}+1}^{k-1}\sum_{t=0}^{2i-k-1}|(\mathcal{F}_j[u]/\langle u^{k-i}\rangle)^\times| = \sum_{i=\frac{k}{2}+1}^{k-1}\sum_{t=0}^{2i-k-1}(q-1)q^{k-i-1}\\ &= (q-1)\sum_{i=\frac{k}{2}+1}^{k-1}(2i-k)q^{k-i-1}.\end{aligned}$$

($\diamondsuit$-2) k is odd. Then $t < 2i-k$ if and only if $2i > k$, i.e., $i \ge \frac{k+1}{2}$, and $0 \le t \le 2i-k-1$. Hence the number of ideals in (IV) is equal to

$$\Omega_2(q,k) = \sum_{i=\frac{k+1}{2}}^{k-1}\sum_{t=0}^{2i-k-1}(q-1)q^{k-i-1} = (q-1)\sum_{i=\frac{k+1}{2}}^{k-1}(2i-k)q^{k-i-1}.$$

Finally, we count the number of ideals in (VI). By Lemma 3.5(iv), it follows that $|(\mathcal{F}_j[u]/\langle u^{s-t}\rangle)^\times| = (q-1)q^{s-t-1}$. Hence the number of ideals in (VI) is equal to

$$\sum_{t=0}^{k-4}\sum_{t+1\le s\le\frac{k+t}{2}-1}\sum_{s+1\le i\le k+t-1-s}|(\mathcal{F}_j[u]/\langle u^{s-t}\rangle)^\times| = (q-1)\Gamma(q,k),$$

where $\Gamma(q,k) = \sum_{t=0}^{k-4}\sum_{t+1\le s\le\frac{k+t}{2}-1}\sum_{s+1\le i\le k+t-1-s}q^{s-t-1}$. When $k \ge 5$,

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma(q,k) &= \sum_{t=1}^{k-4}\sum_{t+1\le s\le\frac{k+t}{2}-1}\sum_{s+1\le i\le k+t-1-s}q^{s-t-1} + \sum_{1\le s\le\frac{k}{2}-1}\sum_{s+1\le i\le k-1-s}q^{s-1}\\ &= \sum_{t'=0}^{(k-1)-4}\sum_{t'+1\le s'\le\frac{(k-1)+t'}{2}-1}\sum_{s'+1\le i'\le(k-1)+t'-1-s'}q^{s'-t'-1}\\ &\quad + \sum_{s=1}^{\lfloor\frac{k}{2}\rfloor-1}(k-2s-1)q^{s-1}\\ &= \Gamma(q,k-1) + \sum_{s=1}^{\lfloor\frac{k}{2}\rfloor-1}(k-2s-1)q^{s-1}.\end{aligned}$$

If $1 \le k \le 3$, there is no triple (t,s,i) of integers satisfying $0 \le t < s < i \le k-1$ and $i+s \le k+t-1$. In this case, the number of ideals in (VI) is equal to 0. Then we set $\Gamma(q,k) = 0$ for $k = 1,2,3$.

If $k=4$, there is a unique triple $(t,s,i)=(0,1,2)$ of integers satisfying $0\le t<s<i\le k-1$ and $i+s\le k+t-1$. In this case, all distinct ideals in (VI) are given by $\langle u^2+2h,2u\rangle$, where $h\in(\mathcal{F}_j[u]/\langle u\rangle)^\times=\mathcal{F}_j^\times$ and $|\mathcal{F}_j^\times|=q-1$. Then we set $\Gamma(q,4)=1$.

Therefore, the number $N_{(2,d_j,k)}$ of ideals of $\mathcal{Q}_j$ is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}N_{(2,d_j,k)}&=k+1+k+\Omega_1(q,k)+\Omega_2(q,k)+\frac{1}{2}k(k-1)+(q-1)\Gamma(q,k)\\&=1+\frac{1}{2}k(k+3)+\Omega_1(q,k)+\Omega_2(q,k)+(q_j-1)\Gamma(q,k).\end{aligned}$$

Then by a direct calculation, we deduce that $N_{(2,d_j,2\rho)}=\sum_{i=0}^{\rho}(1+4i)2^{(\rho-i)d_j}$ and $N_{(2,d_j,2\rho+1)}=\sum_{i=0}^{\rho}(3+4i)2^{(\rho-i)d_j}$ for any positive integer ρ. □

As applications of Theorem 3.7, we consider the special for $k=2,3,4,5$.

♢ The number of ideals of the ring $\mathcal{K}_j[u]/\langle u^2\rangle=\mathcal{K}_j+u\mathcal{K}_j$ $(u^2=0)$ is equal to $N_{(2,d_j,2)}=2^{d_j}+5$. Precisely, all these ideals are given by the following table:

case	number of ideals	C_j (ideal of $\mathcal{K}_j[u]/\langle u^2\rangle$)	$\lvert C_j\rvert$
I.	3	• $\langle u^i\rangle$ $(i=0,1,2)$	$2^{2d_j(2-i)}$
II.	2	• $\langle 2u^s\rangle$ $(s=0,1)$	$2^{d_j(2-s)}$
III.	$2^{d_j}-1$	• $\langle u+2h(x)\rangle$ $(h(x)\in\mathcal{F}_j^\times)$	2^{2d_j}
V.	1	• $\langle u,2\rangle$	2^{3d_j}

For example, all 7 distinct ideals of $\mathbb{Z}_4+u\mathbb{Z}_4$ $(u^2=0)$ are given by: $\langle 1\rangle$, $\langle u\rangle$, $\langle 0\rangle$, $\langle 2\rangle$, $\langle 2u\rangle$, $\langle u+2\rangle$ and $\langle u,2\rangle$.

♢ The number of ideals of the ring $\mathcal{K}_j[u]/\langle u^3\rangle=\mathcal{K}_j+u\mathcal{K}_j+u^2\mathcal{K}_j$ $(u^3=0)$ is equal to $N_{(2,d_j,3)}=3\cdot 2^{d_j}+7$. Precisely, all these ideals are given by the following table:

case	number of ideals	C_j (ideal of $\mathcal{K}_j[u]/\langle u^3\rangle$)	$\lvert C_j\rvert$
I.	4	• $\langle u^i\rangle$ $(0\le i\le 4)$	$2^{2d_j(3-i)}$
II.	3	• $\langle 2u^s\rangle$ $(s=0,1,2)$	$2^{d_j(3-s)}$
III.	$2\cdot 2^{d_j}-2$	• $\langle u+2h(x)\rangle$ $(h(x)\in\mathcal{F}_j^\times)$	2^{4d_j}
		• $\langle u^2+2uh(x)\rangle$ $(h(x)\in\mathcal{F}_j^\times)$	2^{2d_j}
IV.	$2^{d_j}-1$	• $\langle u^2+2h(x)\rangle$ $(h(x)\in\mathcal{F}_j^\times)$	2^{3d_j}
V.	3	• $\langle u,2\rangle$	2^{5d_j}
		• $\langle u^2,2\rangle$	2^{4d_j}
		• $\langle u^2,2u\rangle$	2^{3d_j}

For example, all 13 distinct ideals of $\mathbb{Z}_4+u\mathbb{Z}_4+u^2\mathbb{Z}_4$ $(u^3=0)$ are given by: $\langle 1\rangle$, $\langle u\rangle$, $\langle u^2\rangle$, $\langle 0\rangle$, $\langle 2\rangle$, $\langle 2u\rangle$, $\langle 2u^2\rangle$, $\langle u+2\rangle$, $\langle u^2+2u\rangle$, $\langle u^2+2\rangle$, $\langle u,2\rangle$, $\langle u^2,2\rangle$ and $\langle u^2,2u\rangle$.

♢ The number of ideals of the ring $\mathcal{K}_j[u]/\langle u^4\rangle=\mathcal{K}_j+u\mathcal{K}_j+u^2\mathcal{K}_j+u^3\mathcal{K}_j$ $(u^4=0)$ is equal to $N_{(2,d_j,4)}=2^{2d_j}+5\cdot 2^{d_j}+9$. For example, there are 23 distinct ideals of $\mathbb{Z}_4+u\mathbb{Z}_4+u^2\mathbb{Z}_4+u^3\mathbb{Z}_4$ $(u^4=0)$.

♢ The number of ideals of the ring $\mathcal{K}_j[u]/\langle u^5\rangle=\mathcal{K}_j+u\mathcal{K}_j+u^2\mathcal{K}_j+u^3\mathcal{K}_j+u^4\mathcal{K}_j$ $(u^5=0)$ is equal to $N_{(2,d_j,5)}=3\cdot 2^{2d_j}+7\cdot 2^{d_j}+11$. For example, there are 37 distinct ideals of $\mathbb{Z}_4+u\mathbb{Z}_4+u^2\mathbb{Z}_4+u^3\mathbb{Z}_4+u^4\mathbb{Z}_4$ $(u^5=0)$.

Finally, by Theorems 3.4 and 3.7 we deduce the following conclusion.

Corollary 3.8 *Every cyclic code $\mathcal{C}$ over $R = \mathbb{Z}_4[u]/\langle u^k\rangle$ of length n can be constructed by the following two steps*:

Step 1 *For each integer j, $1 \le j \le r$, choose an ideal C_j of the ring $\mathcal{K}_j[u]/\langle u^k\rangle$ listed by Theorem 3.7*;

Step 2 *Set $\mathcal{C} = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{r} \Psi(e_j(x)C_j)$.*

Moreover, the number of all cyclic codes over R of length n is equal to $\prod_{j=1}^{r} N_{(2,d_j,k)}$.

4 Dual codes and self-duality of cyclic codes over R of length n

In this section, we give the dual code of each cyclic code over the ring $R = \mathbb{Z}_4[u]/\langle u^k\rangle$ of odd length n, and then investigate the self-duality of these codes.

For any $\alpha = (\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{n-1}), \beta = (\beta_0, \beta_1, \ldots, \beta_{n-1}) \in R^n$, where $\alpha_j, \beta_j \in R$ and $0 \le j \le n-1$, recall that the usual *Euclidian inner product* of α and β are defined by $[\alpha, \beta]_E = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \alpha_j\beta_j \in R$. It is known that $[-,-]_E$ is a symmetric and non-degenerate bilinear form on the R-module R^n, i.e.,

$$[\alpha, \beta]_E = 0,\ \forall \beta \in R^n \Longrightarrow \beta = 0$$

for any $\alpha \in R^n$. Let C be a linear code over R of length n, i.e., an R-submodule of R^n. The *Euclidian dual code* of C is defined by $C^{\perp_E} = \{\alpha \in R^n \mid [\alpha, \beta]_E = 0,\ \forall \beta \in C\}$, and C is said to be *self-dual* if $C = C^{\perp_E}$. As usual, we will identify $\alpha \in R^n$ with $\alpha(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \alpha_j x^j \in R[x]/\langle x^n - 1\rangle$ in this paper. Then we define

$$\varrho(\alpha(x)) = \alpha(x^{-1}) = \alpha_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \alpha_j x^{n-j},\ \forall \alpha(x) \in R[x]/\langle x^n - 1\rangle.$$

It is clear that ϱ is a ring automorphism of $R[x]/\langle x^n - 1\rangle$ satisfying $\varrho^{-1} = \varrho$. Now, by a direct calculation we get the following lemma.

Lemma 4.1 *Let $\alpha, \beta \in R^n$. Then $[\alpha, \beta]_E = 0$ if $\alpha(x)\varrho(\beta(x)) = 0$ in the ring $R[x]/\langle x^n - 1\rangle$.*

By Lemma 3.1, the map Ψ defined in Section 3 is a ring isomorphism from $\mathcal{A}[u]/\langle u^k\rangle$ onto $R[x]/\langle x^n - 1\rangle$. Now, let $\sigma = \Psi^{-1}\varrho\Psi$. Then σ is a ring automorphism of $\mathcal{A}[u]/\langle u^k\rangle$ such that the following diagram commutates:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{A}[u]/\langle u^k\rangle & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & \mathcal{A}[u]/\langle u^k\rangle \\ \Psi \downarrow & & \downarrow \Psi \\ R[x]/\langle x^n - 1\rangle & \xrightarrow{\varrho} & R[x]/\langle x^n - 1\rangle, \end{array}$$

It is obvious that $\sigma^{-1} = \sigma$ and the restriction of σ to $\mathcal{A}$ is a ring automorphism of $\mathcal{A}$. We still denote this automorphism by σ. Then

$$\sigma(a(x)) = a(x^{-1}) = a_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_i x^{n-i},\ \forall a(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_i x^i \in \mathcal{A}. \tag{9}$$

Let $1 \le j \le r$. By Equations (5) and the definition of $e_j(x)$ in Section 3, we have

$$\sigma(e_j(x)) = v_j(x^{-1})F_j(x^{-1}) = 1 - w_j(x^{-1})f_j(x^{-1}) \text{ in } \mathcal{A}. \tag{10}$$

For any polynomial $f(x) = \sum_{l=0}^{d} a_l x^l \in \mathbb{Z}_4[x]$ of degree $d \ge 1$, recall that the *reciprocal polynomial* of $f(x)$ is defined as $\widetilde{f}(x) = x^d f(\frac{1}{x}) = \sum_{l=0}^{d} a_l x^{d-l}$, and $f(x)$ is said to be *self-reciprocal* if $\widetilde{f}(x) = \delta f(x)$ for some $\delta \in \mathbb{Z}_4^\times = \{1, 3\}$. By $x^n - 1 = f_1(x)f_2(x)\dots f_r(x)$ given in Section 3, we have

$$x^n - 1 = 3\widetilde{f}_1(x)\widetilde{f}_2(x)\dots\widetilde{f}_r(x).$$

Since $f_1(x), f_2(x), \dots, f_r(x)$ are pairwise coprime monic basic irreducible polynomials in $\mathbb{Z}_4[x]$, $\widetilde{f}_1(x), \widetilde{f}_2(x), \dots, \widetilde{f}_r(x)$ are pairwise coprime basic irreducible polynomials in $\mathbb{Z}_4[x]$ as well. Hence for each integer j, $1 \le j \le r$, there is a unique integer j', $1 \le j' \le r$, such that

$$\widetilde{f}_j(x) = \delta_j f_{j'}(x) \text{ for some } \delta_j \in \mathbb{Z}_4^\times.$$

We assume that $f_j(x) = \sum_{l=0}^{d_j} c_l x^l$ where $c_j \in \mathbb{Z}_4$. Then $x^{d_j} f_j(x^{-1}) = \widetilde{f}_j(x)$. From this, by Equation (10) and $x^n = 1$ in $\mathcal{A}$ we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma(e_j(x)) &= 1 - x^{n-(\deg(v_j(x))+d_j)}(x^{\deg(v_j(x))}v_j(x^{-1}))(x^{d_j}f_j(x^{-1}))\\ &= 1 - x^{n-(\deg(v_j(x))+d_j)}\widetilde{v}_j(x)\widetilde{f}_j(x)\\ &= 1 - b_j(x)f_{j'}(x)\end{aligned}$$

where $b_j(x) = \delta_j x^{n-(\deg(v_j(x))+d_j)}\widetilde{v}_j(x) \in \mathcal{A}$. Similarly, by Equation (5) in Section 3 it follows that $\sigma(e_j(x)) = g_j(x)F_{j'}(x)$ for some $g_j(x) \in \mathcal{A}$. Then from these, by Equations (10) and the definition of $e_{j'}(x)$ we deduce that

$$\sigma(e_j(x)) = e_{j'}(x).$$

As stated above, we see that for each integer j, $1 \le j \le r$, there is a unique integer j', $1 \le j' \le r$, such that $\sigma(e_j(x)) = e_{j'}(x)$. We still use σ to denote this map $j \mapsto j'$; i.e., $\sigma(e_j(x)) = e_{\sigma(j)}(x)$. Whether σ denotes the ring automorphism of $\mathcal{A}$ or this map on the set $\{1, \dots, r\}$ is determined by context. The next lemma shows the compatibility of the two uses of σ.

Lemma 4.2 *With the notations above, we have the following*:

(i) σ *is a permutation on* $\{1, \dots, r\}$ *satisfying* $\sigma^{-1} = \sigma$.

(ii) *After a rearrangement of* $e_1(x), \ldots, e_r(x)$ *there are integers* λ, ϵ *such that* $\sigma(j) = j$ *for all* $j = 1, \ldots, \lambda$ *and* $\sigma(\lambda + l) = \lambda + \epsilon + l$ *for all* $l = 1, \ldots, \epsilon$, *where* $\lambda \geq 1, \epsilon \geq 0$ *and* $\lambda + 2\epsilon = r$.

(iii) *For each integer* j, $1 \leq j \leq r$, *there is a unique element* δ_j *of* $\mathbb{Z}_4^\times$ *such that* $\widetilde{f_j}(x) = \delta_j f_{\sigma(j)}(x)$.

(iv) *For any integer* j, $1 \leq j \leq r$, $\sigma(e_j(x)) = e_{\sigma(j)}(x)$ *in the ring* $\mathcal{A}$, *and* $\sigma(\mathcal{A}_j) = \mathcal{A}_{\sigma(j)}$. *Then* σ *induces a ring isomorphism from* $\mathcal{A}_j[u]/\langle u^k\rangle$ *onto* $\mathcal{A}_{\sigma(j)}[u]/\langle u^k\rangle$, *which is still denoted by* σ, *in the natural way*:

$$\sum_{l=0}^{k-1} a_l(x)u^i \mapsto \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} \sigma(a_l(x))u^i = \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} a_l(x^{-1})u^i,\ \forall a_l(x) \in \mathcal{A}_j,\ 0 \leq l \leq k-1.$$

Proof. (i)–(iii) follow from the definition of the map σ, and (iv) follows from that $\mathcal{A}_j = e_j(x)\mathcal{A}$ immediately. □

Since $\mathcal{A} = \bigoplus_{j=1}^r \mathcal{A}_j$ by Lemma 3.2(ii), it follows that $\mathcal{A}[u] = \bigoplus_{j=1}^r \mathcal{A}_j[u]$, and hence $\mathcal{A}[u]/\langle u^k\rangle = \bigoplus_{j=1}^r \mathcal{A}_j[u]/\langle u^k\rangle$.

Lemma 4.3 *Let* $\alpha(x) = \sum_{j=1}^r \alpha_j(x), \beta(x) = \sum_{j=1}^r \beta_j(x) \in \mathcal{A}[u]/\langle u^k\rangle$, *where* $\alpha_j(x), \beta_j(x) \in \mathcal{A}_j[u]/\langle u^k\rangle$. *Then* $\alpha(x)\sigma(\beta(x)) = \sum_{j=1}^r \alpha_j(x)\sigma(\beta_{\sigma(j)}(x))$.

Proof. By Lemma 4.2(iv) and $\sigma^{-1} = \sigma$, it follows that

$$\sigma(\beta_{\sigma(j)}(x)) \in \sigma(\mathcal{A}_{\sigma(j)}[u]/\langle u^k\rangle) = \mathcal{A}_{\sigma^2(j)}[u]/\langle u^k\rangle = \mathcal{A}_j[u]/\langle u^k\rangle.$$

Hence $\alpha_j(x)\sigma(\beta_{\sigma(j)}(x)) \in \mathcal{A}_j[u]/\langle u^k\rangle$ for all j. If $l \neq \sigma(j)$, then $j \neq \sigma(l)$ and so $\mathcal{A}_j\mathcal{A}_{\sigma(l)} = \{0\}$ by Lemma 3.2(ii), which implies

$$\alpha_j(x)\sigma(\beta_l(x)) \in (\mathcal{A}_j[u]/\langle u^k\rangle) \cdot (\mathcal{A}_{\sigma(l)}[u]/\langle u^k\rangle) = \{0\}$$

Hence

$$\alpha(x)\sigma(\beta(x)) = \sum_{j=1}^r \sum_{l=1}^r \alpha_j(x)\sigma(\beta_l(x)) = \sum_{j=1}^r \alpha_j(x)\sigma(\beta_{\sigma(j)}(x)). \quad \square$$

By Lemma 3.2(iii), we know that $\varphi_j : b(x) \mapsto e_j(x)b(x) \bmod x^n - 1$ $(\forall b(x) \in \mathcal{K}_j)$ is a ring isomorphism from the Galois ring $\mathcal{K}_j = \mathbb{Z}_4[x]/\langle f_j(x)\rangle$ onto $\mathcal{A}_j$.

Lemma 4.4 *Using the notations above, for any* $1 \leq j \leq r$ *we have*

(i) *Let* $\sigma_j = \varphi_{\sigma(j)}^{-1}\sigma|_{\mathcal{A}_j}\varphi_j$ *where* $\sigma|_{\mathcal{A}_j}$ *is the restriction of* σ *to* $\mathcal{A}_j$. *Then* σ_j *is a ring isomorphism from* $\mathcal{K}_j$ *onto* $\mathcal{K}_{\sigma(j)}$ *such that the following diagram commutes*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{K}_j = \mathbb{Z}_4[x]/\langle f_j(x)\rangle & \xrightarrow{\sigma_j} & \mathcal{K}_{\sigma(j)} = \mathbb{Z}_4[x]/\langle f_{\sigma(j)}(x)\rangle \\ \varphi_j \downarrow & & \downarrow \varphi_{\sigma(j)} \\ \mathcal{A}_j = e_j(x)\mathcal{A} & \xrightarrow{\sigma|_{\mathcal{A}_j}} & \mathcal{A}_{\sigma(j)} = e_{\sigma(j)}(x)\mathcal{A} \end{array}.$$

Specifically, for any $b(x) \in \mathcal{K}_j$ *we have* $\sigma_j(b(x)) = b(x^{-1}) \in \mathcal{K}_{\sigma(j)}$, *where*

$$b(x^{-1}) \equiv b(x^{n-1}) \pmod{f_{\sigma(j)}(x)}.$$

(ii) σ_j *induces a ring isomorphism from* $\mathcal{K}_j[u]/\langle u^k\rangle$ *onto* $\mathcal{K}_{\sigma(j)}[u]/\langle u^k\rangle$, *which will be still denoted by* σ_j, *defined in the natural way that*:

$$\xi \mapsto \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} \sigma_j(\alpha_l)u^l, \text{ i.e., } \sigma_j(\xi) = \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} \sigma_j(\alpha_l)u^l,$$

for any $\xi = \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} \alpha_l u^l \in \mathcal{K}_j[u]/\langle u^k\rangle$ *where* $\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{k-1} \in \mathcal{K}_j$.

Proof (i) It follows from Lemma 3.2(iii) and Lemma 4.2 (iv).
(ii) It follows from (i) immediately. □

Now, we give the dual code of each cyclic code over R of length n.

Theorem 4.5 *Let* $\mathcal{C}$ *be a cyclic code over* R *of length* n *with canonical form decomposition* $\mathcal{C} = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{r} \Psi(e_j(x)C_j)$, *where* C_j *is an ideal of the ring* $\mathcal{K}_j[u]/\langle u^k\rangle$ *given by Theorem 3.7. Then the dual code* $\mathcal{C}^{\perp_E}$ *of* $\mathcal{C}$ *is given by*

$$\mathcal{C}^{\perp_E} = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{r} \Psi(e_j(x)D_j),$$

where D_j *is an ideal of* $\mathcal{K}_j[u]/\langle u^k\rangle$ *given by one of the following eight cases for all* $j = 1, \ldots, r$:

case	C_j	$D_{\sigma(j)}$
1.	$\langle u^i\rangle$ $(0 \le i \le k)$	$\langle u^{k-i}\rangle$
2.	$\langle 2u^s\rangle$ $(0 \le s \le k-1)$	$\langle u^{k-s}, 2\rangle$
3.	$\langle u^i + 2u^t h(x)\rangle$ $(h(x) \in (\mathcal{F}_j[u]/\langle u^{i-t}\rangle)^\times,\ t \ge 2i-k,$ $0 \le t < i \le k-1)$	$\langle u^{k-i} + 2u^{k+t-2i}h(x^{-1})\rangle$
4.	$\langle u^i + 2h(x)\rangle$ $(h(x) \in (\mathcal{F}_j[u]/\langle u^{k-i}\rangle)^\times,\ 2i > k,$ $0 < i \le k-1)$	$\langle u^i + 2h(x^{-1})\rangle$
5.	$\langle u^i + 2u^t h(x)\rangle$ $(h(x) \in (\mathcal{F}_j[u]/\langle u^{k-i}\rangle)^\times,\ t < 2i-k,$ $1 \le t < i \le k-1)$	$\langle u^{i-t} + 2h(x^{-1}), 2u^{k-i}\rangle$
6.	$\langle u^i, 2u^s\rangle$ $(0 \le s < i \le k-1)$	$\langle u^{k-s}, 2u^{k-i}\rangle$
7.	$\langle u^i + 2h(x), 2u^s\rangle$ $(h(x) \in (\mathcal{F}_j[u]/\langle u^s\rangle)^\times,\ i+s \le k-1,$ $1 \le s < i \le k-1)$	$\langle u^{k-s} + 2u^{k-i-s}h(x^{-1})\rangle$
8.	$\langle u^i + 2u^t h(x), 2u^s\rangle$ $(h(x) \in (\mathcal{F}_j[u]/\langle u^{s-t}\rangle)^\times,$ $i+s \le k+t-1,$ $1 \le t < s < i \le k-1)$	$\langle u^{k-s} + 2u^{k+t-i-s}h(x^{-1}),$ $2u^{k-i}\rangle$

in which $h(x^{-1}) \in \mathcal{F}_{\sigma(j)}[u]/\langle u^k\rangle$ *satisfying* $h(x^{-1}) \equiv h(x^{n-1}) \pmod{\overline{f}_{\sigma(j)}(x)}$.

Proof Let $1 \le j \le r$, and E_j be an ideal of $\mathcal{K}_j[u]/\langle u^k\rangle$ given by one of the following eight cases:

(i) $E_j = \langle u^{k-i}\rangle$, if $C_j = \langle u^i\rangle$, where $0 \le i \le k$.

(ii) $E_j = \langle u^{k-s}, 2\rangle$, if $C_j = \langle 2u^s\rangle$, where $0 \le s \le k-1$.

(iii) $E_j = \langle u^{k-i} + 2u^{k+t-2i}h(x)\rangle$, if $C_j = \langle u^i + 2u^t h(x)\rangle$ where $h(x) \in (\mathcal{F}_j[u]/\langle u^{i-t}\rangle)^\times$, $0 \le t < i \le k-1$ and $t \ge 2i-k$.

(iv) $E_j = \langle u^i + 2h(x)\rangle$, if $C_j = \langle u^i + 2h(x)\rangle$ where $h(x) \in (\mathcal{F}_j[u]/\langle u^{k-i}\rangle)^\times$, $0 < i \le k-1$ and $2i > k$.

(v) $E_j = \langle u^{i-t} + 2h(x), 2u^{k-i}\rangle$, if $C_i = \langle u^i + 2u^t h(x)\rangle$ where $h(x) \in (\mathcal{F}_j[u]/\langle u^{k-i}\rangle)^\times$, $1 \le t < i \le k-1$ and $t < 2i-k$.

(vi) $E_j = \langle u^{k-s}, 2u^{k-i}\rangle$, if $C_j = \langle u^i, 2u^s\rangle$, where $0 \le s < i \le k-1$.

(vii) $E_j = \langle u^{k-s} + 2u^{k-i-s}h(x)\rangle$, if $C_j = \langle u^i + 2h(x), 2u^s\rangle$, where $h(x) \in (\mathcal{F}_j[u]/\langle u^s\rangle)^\times$, $1 \le s < i \le k-1$, $i+s \le k-1$.

(viii) $E_j = \langle u^{k-s} + 2u^{k+t-i-s}h(x), 2u^{k-i}\rangle$, when $C_j = \langle u^i + 2u^t h(x), 2u^s\rangle$, where $h(x) \in (\mathcal{F}_j[u]/\langle u^{s-t}\rangle)^\times$, $1 \le t < s < i \le k-1$, $i+s \le k+t-1$.

Then by a direct calculation we deduce that $C_jE_j = \{a(x)b(x) \mid a(x) \in C_j,\ b(x) \in E_j\} = \{0\}$. Further, we have $|C_j||E_j| = 2^{2d_jk}$ by Theorem 3.7.

Since σ_j is a ring isomorphism from $\mathcal{K}_j[u]/\langle u^k\rangle$ onto $\mathcal{K}_{\sigma(j)}[u]/\langle u^k\rangle$ define by Lemma 4.4(ii), $\sigma_j(E_j)$ is an ideal of $\mathcal{K}_{\sigma(j)}[u]/\langle u^k\rangle$ and $|\sigma_j(E_j)| = |E_j|$. We denote $D_{\sigma(j)} = \sigma_j(E_j)$. Let $l = \sigma(j)$. Then $j = \sigma(l)$ as $\sigma^{-1} = \sigma$.

Now, set $\mathcal{D} = \sum_{j=1}^r \Psi(e_{\sigma(j)}(x)D_{\sigma(j)}) = \bigoplus_{l=1}^r \Psi(e_l(x)D_l)$, where $D_l = \sigma_{\sigma(l)}(E_{\sigma(l)})$ is an ideal of $\mathcal{K}_l[u]/\langle u^k\rangle$ since $\sigma_{\sigma(l)} = \sigma_l^{-1} : \mathcal{K}_{\sigma(l)}[u]/\langle u^k\rangle \to \mathcal{K}_l[u]/\langle u^k\rangle$. By Theorem 3.4, we see that $\mathcal{D}$ is a cyclic code over R of length n.

For any $1 \le j \le r$, by Lemma 3.2(iii) we deduce that $e_{\sigma(j)}(x)D_{\sigma(j)}$ is an ideal of $\mathcal{A}_{\sigma(j)}[u]/\langle u^k\rangle$. Moreover, we have $\sigma(D_{\sigma(j)}) = \sigma^2(E_j) = E_j$, and $\sigma(e_{\sigma(j)}(x)) = e_j(x)$ by Lemma 4.2(iv). From these and by Lemma 4.3, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{C}\cdot\sigma(\mathcal{D}) &= \left(\sum_{j=1}^r \Psi(e_j(x)C_j)\right)\cdot\sigma\left(\sum_{l=1}^r \Psi(e_l(x)D_l)\right)\\
&= \Psi\left(\sum_{j=1}^r (e_j(x)C_j)\cdot\sigma\left(e_{\sigma(j)}(x)D_{\sigma(j)}\right)\right)\\
&= \Psi\left(\sum_{j=1}^r (e_j(x)C_j)(e_j(x)E_j)\right)\\
&= \Psi\left(\sum_{j=1}^r e_j(x)(C_jE_j)\right)\\
&= \{0\},
\end{aligned}$$

which implies $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{C}^{\perp_E}$ by Lemma 4.1. On the other hand, by Theorems 3.4 and 3.7, we have

$$\begin{aligned}|\mathcal{C}||\mathcal{D}| &= (\prod_{j=1}^{r}|C_j|)(\prod_{j=1}^{r}|E_j|) = \prod_{j=1}^{r}(|C_j||E_j|) = \prod_{j=1}^{r}2^{2d_jk}\\ &= 2^{2k\sum_{j=1}^{r}d_j} = (4^k)^n = |R^n|.\end{aligned}$$

Since $R = \mathbb{Z}_4[u]/\langle u^k\rangle$ is a finite Frobenius ring, by the theory of linear codes over Frobenius rings (See Dougherty et al. [7]) we deduce that $\mathcal{C}^{\perp_E} = \mathcal{D}$.

Finally, we give the generator set of $D_{\sigma(j)} = \sigma_j(E_j)$, $1 \le j \le r$. By Lemma 4.4, we have $\sigma_j(u^l) = u^l$ for all $0 \le l \le k$, and for any $h(x) \in \mathcal{F}_j[u]/\langle u^k\rangle$

$$\sigma_j(h(x)) = h(x^{-1}) \equiv h(x^{n-1}) \pmod{\overline{f}_{\sigma(j)}(x)},$$

which implies

$$\sigma_j(2u^lh(x)) = 2u^lh(x^{-1}) \text{ with } h(x^{-1}) \in \mathcal{F}_{\sigma(j)}[u]/\langle u^k\rangle.$$

Then the conclusions follows from (i)–(viii) immediately. □

Finally, using Theorems 3.4, 3.7 and 4.5 we list all distinct self-dual cyclic codes over the ring R of length n by the following Theorem.

Theorem 4.6 *Using the notations in Lemma 4.2*(ii)*, all distinct self-dual cyclic codes over the ring R of length n are give by*:

$$\mathcal{C} = \left(\bigoplus_{j=1}^{\lambda}\Psi(e_j(x)C_j)\right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{j=\lambda+1}^{\lambda+\epsilon}\Psi(e_j(x)C_j) \oplus \Psi(e_{j+\epsilon}(x)C_{j+\epsilon})\right),$$

where C_j is an ideal of $\mathcal{K}_j[u]/\langle u^k\rangle$ determined by the following conditions:

(A) *If $1 \le j \le \lambda$, C_j is determined by the following conditions*:

(A-i) *When k is even, C_j is given by one of the following six cases*:

(A-i-1) $C_j = \langle u^{\frac{k}{2}}\rangle$.

(A-i-2) $C_j = \langle 2\rangle$.

(A-i-3) $C_j = \langle u^{\frac{k}{2}} + 2u^th(x)\rangle$, *where* $h(x) \in (\mathcal{F}_j[u]/\langle u^{\frac{k}{2}-t}\rangle)^\times$ *satisfying* $h(x) + h(x^{-1}) \equiv 0 \pmod{\overline{f}_j(x)}$, *and* $0 \le t \le \frac{k}{2} - 1$.

(A-i-4) $C_j = \langle u^i + 2h(x)\rangle$, *where* $h(x) \in (\mathcal{F}_j[u]/\langle u^{k-i}\rangle)^\times$ *satisfying* $h(x) + h(x^{-1}) \equiv 0 \pmod{\overline{f}_j(x)}$, *and* $\frac{k}{2} + 1 \le i \le k - 1$.

(A-i-5) $C_j = \langle u^i, 2u^{k-i}\rangle$, *where* $\frac{k}{2} + 1 < i \le k - 1$.

(A-i-6) $C_j = \langle u^i + 2u^th(x), 2u^{k-i}\rangle$, *where* $1 \le t < k - i$, $\frac{k}{2} + 1 \le i \le k - 1$ *and* $h(x) \in (\mathcal{F}_j[u]/\langle u^{k-i-t}\rangle)^\times$ *satisfying* $h(x) + h(x^{-1}) \equiv 0 \pmod{\overline{f}_j(x)}$.

(A-ii) *When k is odd, C_j is given by one of the following four cases*:

(A-ii-1) $C_j = \langle 2 \rangle$.

(A-ii-2) $C_j = \langle u^i + 2h(x) \rangle$, *where* $h(x) \in (\mathcal{F}_j[u]/\langle u^{k-i} \rangle)^\times$ *satisfying* $h(x) + h(x^{-1}) \equiv 0 \ (mod\ \overline{f}_j(x))$, *and* $\frac{k+1}{2} \leq i \leq k-1$.

(A-ii-3) $C_j = \langle u^i, 2u^{k-i} \rangle$, *where* $\frac{k+1}{2} < i \leq k-1$.

(A-ii-4) $C_j = \langle u^i + 2u^t h(x), 2u^{k-i} \rangle$, *where* $1 \leq t < k-i$, $\frac{k+1}{2} \leq i \leq k-1$ *and* $h(x) \in (\mathcal{F}_j[u]/\langle u^{k-i-t} \rangle)^\times$ *satisfying* $h(x) + h(x^{-1}) \equiv 0 \ (mod\ \overline{f}_j(x))$.

(B) *If* $j = \lambda + l$ *where* $1 \leq l \leq \epsilon$, *then* $(C_j, C_{j+\epsilon})$ *is given by one of the following eight cases*:

case	C_j	$C_{j+\epsilon}$
B-1.	$\langle u^i \rangle \ \ (0 \leq i \leq k)$	$\langle u^{k-i} \rangle$
B-2.	$\langle 2u^s \rangle \ \ (0 \leq s \leq k-1)$	$\langle u^{k-s}, 2 \rangle$
B-3.	$\langle u^i + 2u^t h(x) \rangle$ $(h(x) \in (\mathcal{F}_j[u]/\langle u^{i-t} \rangle)^\times,$ $t \geq 2i-k, 0 \leq t < i \leq k-1)$	$\langle u^{k-i} + 2u^{k+t-2i} h(x^{-1}) \rangle$
B-4.	$\langle u^i + 2h(x) \rangle$ $(h(x) \in (\mathcal{F}_j[u]/\langle u^{k-i} \rangle)^\times,$ $2i > k, 0 < i \leq k-1)$	$\langle u^i + 2h(x^{-1}) \rangle$
B-5.	$\langle u^i + 2u^t h(x) \rangle$ $(h(x) \in (\mathcal{F}_j[u]/\langle u^{k-i} \rangle)^\times,$ $t < 2i-k, 1 \leq t < i \leq k-1)$	$\langle u^{i-t} + 2h(x^{-1}), 2u^{k-i} \rangle$
B-6.	$\langle u^i, 2u^s \rangle$ $(0 \leq s < i \leq k-1)$	$\langle u^{k-s}, 2u^{k-i} \rangle$
B-7.	$\langle u^i + 2h(x), 2u^s \rangle$ $(h(x) \in (\mathcal{F}_j[u]/\langle u^s \rangle)^\times,$ $i+s \leq k-1, 1 \leq s < i \leq k-1)$	$\langle u^{k-s} + 2u^{k-i-s} h(x^{-1}) \rangle$
B-8.	$\langle u^i + 2u^t h(x), 2u^s \rangle$ $(h(x) \in (\mathcal{F}_j[u]/\langle u^{s-t} \rangle)^\times,$ $i+s \leq k+t-1,$ $1 \leq t < s < i \leq k-1)$	$\langle u^{k-s} + 2u^{k+t-i-s} h(x^{-1}), 2u^{k-i} \rangle$

in which $h(x^{-1}) \in \mathcal{F}_{j+\epsilon}[u]/\langle u^k \rangle$ *satisfying* $h(x^{-1}) \equiv h(x^{n-1}) \pmod{\overline{f}_{j+\epsilon}(x)}$.

5 Quasi-cyclic codes of index 4 over $\mathbb{Z}_4$ derived from cyclic codes over $\mathbb{Z}_4[u]/\langle u^4 \rangle$

In this section, let $R = \mathbb{Z}_4[u]/\langle u^4 \rangle$. We consider quasi-cyclic codes of length $4n$ and index 4 derived from cyclic codes over R of length n where n is odd.

Using the notations of Section 3, let $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{Z}_4[x]/\langle x^n - 1 \rangle$. It is well-known that ideals of the ring $\mathcal{A}$ are identified with cyclic codes of length n. Obviously,

$$\mathcal{A}^4 = \{(\xi_0, \xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3) \mid \xi_0, \xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3 \in \mathcal{A}\}$$

is an $\mathcal{A}$-module with componentwise addition and scalar multiplication from elements of $\mathcal{A}$. Then $\mathcal{A}$-submodules of $\mathcal{A}^4$ are identified as quasi-cyclic codes of length $4n$ and index 4 (cf. Cui [6] or Cao [5], for example).

Since $\mathcal{A}$ is a subring of $\mathcal{A}[u]/\langle u^4 \rangle = \mathcal{A} + u\mathcal{A} + u^2\mathcal{A} + u^3\mathcal{A}$ $(u^4 = 0)$, $\mathcal{A}[u]/\langle u^4 \rangle$ is an $\mathcal{A}$-module. Now, we define a map $\Upsilon : \mathcal{A}[u]/\langle u^4 \rangle \to \mathcal{A}^4$ by

$$\Upsilon : \xi_0 + u\xi_1 + u^2\xi_2 + u^3\xi_3 \mapsto (\xi_3, \xi_2 + \xi_3, \xi_1 + \xi_2 + \xi_3, \xi_0 + \xi_1 + \xi_2 + \xi_3)$$

$(\forall \xi_0, \xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3 \in \mathcal{A})$. Then it can be easily verify that Υ is an $\mathcal{A}$-module isomorphism from $\mathcal{A}[u]/\langle u^4\rangle$ onto $\mathcal{A}^4$.

Let Ψ^{-1} be the inverse of the ring isomorphism Ψ (see Lemma 3.1) from $\mathcal{A}[u]/\langle u^4\rangle$ onto $R[x]/\langle x^n-1\rangle$. Then Ψ^{-1} is a ring isomorphism from $R[x]/\langle x^n-1\rangle$ onto $\mathcal{A}[u]/\langle u^4\rangle$. Since $\mathbb{Z}_4$ is a subring of both R and $\mathcal{A}$, by Lemma 3.1 we deduce that $\Upsilon\Psi^{-1}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_4$-isomorphism from $R[x]/\langle x^n-1\rangle$ onto $\mathcal{A}^4$.

On the other hand, it is clear that $R[x]/\langle x^n-1\rangle$ is a free R-module of rank n with a basis $\{1, x, \ldots, x^{n-1}\}$. As R is a finite commutative local ring, any R-submodule of $R[x]/\langle x^n-1\rangle$ must be finitely generated. In the following, we identify $R[x]/\langle x^n-1\rangle$ with R^n under the natural R-module isomorphism:

$$\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 x + \ldots + \alpha_{n-1}x^{n-1} \mapsto (\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{n-1})$$

$(\forall \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{n-1} \in R)$.

Recall that R-submodules of R^n are in fact linear codes over R of length n. Let S be a linear code over R of length n. A matrix G is called a *generator matrix* for S if every codeword in S is an R-linear combination of the row vectors of G and any row vector of S can not be written as an R-linear combination of the other row vectors of G (cf. [9] Definition 3.1). We assume that G is a matrix over R of size $m \times n$, i.e,. $G \in \mathrm{M}_{m\times n}(R)$. Since each element α of R can be uniquely expressed as: $\alpha = a_0 + ua_1 + u^2a_2 + u^3a_3$ where $a_0, a_1, a_2, a_3 \in \mathbb{Z}_4$, the matrix G can be uniquely write as:

$$G = G_0 + uG_1 + u^2G_2 + u^3G_3,\ G_0, G_1, G_2, G_3 \in \mathrm{M}_{m\times n}(\mathbb{Z}_4).$$

Especially, every vector υ of R^m can be uniquely write as:

$$\upsilon = \alpha + u\beta + u^2\gamma + u^3\delta,\ \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{Z}_4^m.$$

Then we have the following theorem.

Theorem 5.1 *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a cyclic code over R of length n, i.e., $\mathcal{C}$ is an ideal of the ring $R[x]/\langle x^n-1\rangle$. Denote*

$$D = \Upsilon\Psi^{-1}(\mathcal{C}) = \Upsilon(\Psi^{-1}(\mathcal{C})).$$

(i) *D is a quasi-cyclic code over $\mathbb{Z}_4$ of length $4n$ and index 4.*

(ii) *If $G_{\mathcal{C}} = G_0 + uG_1 + u^2G_2 + u^3G_3$ is a generator matrix of $\mathcal{C}$ as an R-submodule of R^n, where $G_0, G_1, G_2, G_3 \in \mathrm{M}_{m\times n}(\mathbb{Z}_4)$ for some positive integer m. Then as a $\mathbb{Z}_4$-submodule of $\mathbb{Z}_4^{4n}$, a generator matrix of D is given by*

$$G_D = \begin{pmatrix} G_3 & G_2+G_3 & G_1+G_2+G_3 & G_0+G_1+G_2+G_3 \\ G_2 & G_1+G_2 & G_0+G_1+G_2 & G_0+G_1+G_2 \\ G_1 & G_0+G_1 & G_0+G_1 & G_0+G_1 \\ G_0 & G_0 & G_0 & G_0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proof (i) Since $\mathcal{C}$ is an ideal of the ring $R[x]/\langle x^n-1\rangle$ and Ψ^{-1} is a ring isomorphism from $R[x]/\langle x^n-1\rangle$ onto $\mathcal{A}[u]/\langle u^4\rangle$, we see that $\Psi^{-1}(\mathcal{C})$ is an ideal of the ring $\mathcal{A}[u]/\langle u^4\rangle$, which implies that $\Psi^{-1}(\mathcal{C})$ is an $\mathcal{A}$-submodule of

$\mathcal{A}[u]/\langle u^4\rangle$. Hence $D = \Upsilon(\Psi^{-1}(\mathcal{C}))$ is a quasi-cyclic code over $\mathbb{Z}_4$ of length $4n$ and index 4 since Υ is an $\mathcal{A}$-module isomorphism from $\mathcal{A}[u]/\langle u^4\rangle$ onto $\mathcal{A}^4$.

(ii) Since $G_{\mathcal{C}}$ is a a generator matrix of $\mathcal{C}$ as an R-submodule of R^n, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{C} &= \{(\alpha + u\beta + u^2\gamma + u^3\delta)G_{\mathcal{C}} \mid \alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta \in \mathbb{Z}_4^m\}\\ &= \{\alpha G_0 + u(\alpha G_1 + \beta G_0) + u^2(\alpha G_2 + \beta G_1 + \gamma G_0)\\ &\quad + u^3(\alpha G_3 + \beta G_2 + \gamma G_1 + \delta G_0) \mid \alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta \in \mathbb{Z}_4^m\}.\end{aligned}$$

From this and by the definition of Υ, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}&\Upsilon((\alpha + u\beta + u^2\gamma + u^3\delta)G_{\mathcal{C}})\\ &= (\alpha G_3 + \beta G_2 + \gamma G_1 + \delta G_0,\\ &\quad (\alpha G_2 + \beta G_1 + \gamma G_0) + (\alpha G_3 + \beta G_2 + \gamma G_1 + \delta G_0),\\ &\quad (\alpha G_1 + \beta G_0) + (\alpha G_2 + \beta G_1 + \gamma G_0) + (\alpha G_3 + \beta G_2 + \gamma G_1 + \delta G_0),\\ &\quad \alpha G_0 + (\alpha G_1 + \beta G_0) + (\alpha G_2 + \beta G_1 + \gamma G_0) + (\alpha G_3 + \beta G_2 + \gamma G_1 + \delta G_0)\\ &= \underline{w}G_D,\end{aligned}$$

where $\underline{w} = (\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta) \in \mathbb{Z}_4^{4m}$, which implies $D = \{\underline{w}G_D \mid \underline{w} \in \mathbb{Z}_4^{4m}\}$. Hence G_D is a generator matrix of D as a $\mathbb{Z}_4$-submodule of $\mathbb{Z}_4^{4n}$. □

Remark In Theorem 5.1, the quasi-cyclic code D over $\mathbb{Z}_4$ of length $4n$ and index 4 is completely determined by the ideal $\Psi^{-1}(\mathcal{C})$ of $\mathcal{A}[u]/\langle u^4\rangle$. All distinct ideals of $\mathcal{A}[u]/\langle u^4\rangle$ can be provided by Lemma 3.3 and Theorem 3.7.

6 An Example

We consider cyclic codes of length 7 over $R = \mathbb{Z}_4[u]/\langle u^k\rangle = \mathbb{Z}_4 + u\mathbb{Z}_4 + \ldots + u^{k-1}\mathbb{Z}_4$ ($u^k = 0$) for $k = 2,3,4,5$, respectively. In this case, we have $n = 7$.

It is known that $x^7 - 1 = f_1(x)f_2(x)f_3(x)$ where

$$f_1(x) = x + 3,\ f_2(x) = x^3 + 2x^2 + x + 3,\ f_3(x) = x^3 + 3x^2 + 2x + 3$$

are basic irreducible monic polynomials in $\mathbb{Z}_4[x]$ satisfying $\widetilde{f_1}(x) = 3f_1(x)$ and $\widetilde{f_2}(x) = 3f_3(x)$. Hence $r = 3$, $d_1 = 1$, $d_2 = d_3 = 3$, $\lambda = 1$ and $\epsilon = 1$.

• First, we calculate the number of cyclic codes of length 7 over $R = \mathbb{Z}_4[u]/\langle u^k\rangle$ for $k = 2,3,4,5$. By Theorem 3.7 and Corollary 3.8, the number of cyclic codes over R of length 7 is equal to $\mathcal{N}_{(7,k)} = \prod_{j=1}^{3} N_{(2,d_j,k)} = N_{(2,1,k)}N_{(2,3,k)}^2$, where

$$N_{(2,1,k)} = \begin{cases} 7, & \text{if } k = 2;\\ 13, & \text{if } k = 3;\\ 23, & \text{if } k = 4;\\ 37, & \text{if } k = 5,\end{cases} \text{ and } N_{(2,3,k)} = \begin{cases} 13, & \text{if } k = 2;\\ 31, & \text{if } k = 3;\\ 113, & \text{if } k = 4;\\ 259, & \text{if } k = 5.\end{cases}$$

Therefore, we deduce the following:

$\diamond$ The number of cyclic codes of length 7 over $\mathbb{Z}_4 + u\mathbb{Z}_4$ $(u^2 = 0)$ is equal to $\mathcal{N}_{(7,2)} = 7 \cdot 13^2 = 1,183$;

$\diamond$ The number of cyclic codes of length 7 over $\mathbb{Z}_4 + u\mathbb{Z}_4 + u^2\mathbb{Z}_4$ $(u^3 = 0)$ is equal to $\mathcal{N}_{(7,3)} = 13 \cdot 31^2 = 12,493$;

$\diamond$ The number of cyclic codes of length 7 over $\mathbb{Z}_4 + u\mathbb{Z}_4 + u^2\mathbb{Z}_4 + u^3\mathbb{Z}_4$ $(u^4 = 0)$ is equal to $\mathcal{N}_{(7,4)} = 23 \cdot 113^2 = 293,687$;

$\diamond$ The number of cyclic codes of length 7 over $\mathbb{Z}_4+u\mathbb{Z}_4+u^2\mathbb{Z}_4+u^3\mathbb{Z}_4+u^4\mathbb{Z}_4$ $(u^5 = 0)$ is equal to $\mathcal{N}_{(7,5)} = 37 \cdot 259^2 = 2,481,997$.

• Next, we list all cyclic codes of length 7 over $R = \mathbb{Z}_4 + u\mathbb{Z}_4 + u^2\mathbb{Z}_4 + u^3\mathbb{Z}_4$ $(u^4 = 0)$. For each $j = 1, 2, 3$, let $F_j(x) = \frac{x^7-1}{f_j(x)} \in \mathbb{Z}_4[x]$. Then we find polynomials $v_j(x), w_j(x) \in \mathbb{Z}_4[x]$ satisfying $v_j(x)F_j(x) + w_j(x)f_j(x) = 1$, and set $e_j(x) \equiv v_j(x)F_j(x) \pmod{x^7 - 1}$. Precisely, we have

$e_1(x) = 3\,x^6 + 3\,x^5 + 3\,x^4 + 3\,x^3 + 3\,x^2 + 3\,x + 3$;

$e_2(x) = 2\,x^6 + 2\,x^5 + 3\,x^4 + 2\,x^3 + 3\,x^2 + 3\,x + 1$.

$e_3(x) = 3\,x^6 + 3\,x^5 + 2\,x^4 + 3\,x^3 + 2\,x^2 + 2\,x + 1$;

Using the notations of Section 3, we have

$\diamondsuit$ $\mathcal{K}_1 = \mathbb{Z}_4[x]/\langle f_1(x)\rangle = \mathbb{Z}_4$, $\mathcal{F}_1 = \mathbb{F}_2[x]/\langle \overline{f}_1(x)\rangle = \mathbb{F}_2 = \{0, 1\}$ and $\mathcal{F}_1[u]/\langle u^l\rangle = \mathbb{F}_2 + u\mathbb{F}_2 + \ldots + u^{l-1}\mathbb{F}_2$ for any $l = 1, 2, 3$. Precisely, we have

$$\mathcal{F}_1^\times = \{1\} \text{ and } (\mathcal{F}_1 + u\mathcal{F}_1)^\times = \{1, 1 + u\}.$$

$\diamondsuit$ For $j = 2, 3$, $\mathcal{K}_j = \mathbb{Z}_4[x]/\langle f_j(x)\rangle = \{a_0 + a_1x + a_2x^2 \mid a_0, a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_4\}$, $\mathcal{F}_j = \mathbb{F}_2[x]/\langle \overline{f}_j(x)\rangle = \{b_0 + b_1x + b_2x^2 \mid b_0, b_1, b_2 \in \mathbb{F}_2\}$, where

$\diamond$ $\overline{f}_3(x) = x^3 + x + 1$, $\overline{f}_2(x) = x^3 + x^2 + 1$;

$\diamond$ $\mathcal{F}_j[u]/\langle u^l\rangle = \mathcal{F}_j + u\mathcal{F}_j + \ldots + u^{l-1}\mathcal{F}_j$, $1 \le l \le 4$.

Precisely, we have

$$\mathcal{F}_j^\times = \{b_0 + b_1x + b_2x^2 \mid (b_0, b_1, b_2) \neq (0, 0, 0),\ b_0, b_1, b_2 \in \mathbb{F}_2\}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathcal{F}_j + u\mathcal{F}_j)^\times &= \{\alpha + u\beta \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{F}_j^\times,\ \beta \in \mathcal{F}_j\}\\ &= \{b_0 + b_1x + b_2x^2 + u(c_0 + c_1x + c_2x^2)\\ &\quad \mid (b_0, b_1, b_2) \neq (0, 0, 0),\ b_0, b_1, b_2, c_0, c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{F}_2\}.\end{aligned}$$

By by Theorem 3.7 and Corollary 3.8, all distinct cyclic codes of length 7 over R are given by

$$\mathcal{C} = \Psi(e_1(x)C_1) \oplus \Psi(e_2(x)C_2) \oplus \Psi(e_3(x)C_3) \text{ with } |\mathcal{C}| = |C_1||C_2||C_3|,$$

where

$\diamond$ C_1 is an ideal of the ring $\mathcal{K}_1[u]/\langle u^4\rangle$ listed by the following table:

case	number of ideals	C_1 (ideal of $\mathbb{Z}_4[u]/\langle u^4\rangle$)	$\lvert C_1\rvert$
I.	5	• $\langle u^i\rangle$ $(0 \le i \le 4)$	4^{4-i}
II.	4	• $\langle 2u^s\rangle$ $(0 \le s \le 3)$	2^{4-s}
III.	5	• $\langle u+2\rangle$	4^3
		• $\langle u^2+2\rangle$, $\langle u^2+2(1+u)\rangle$, $\langle u^2+2u\rangle$	4^2
		• $\langle u^3+2u^2\rangle$	4
IV.	2	• $\langle u^3+2\rangle$	2^4
		• $\langle u^3+2u\rangle$	2^3
V.	6	• $\langle u^i, 2u^s\rangle$ $(0 \le s < i \le 3)$	$2^{8-(i+s)}$
VI.	1	• $\langle u^2+2, 2u\rangle$	2^5

$\diamond$ For $j = 2, 3$, C_j is an ideal of the ring $\mathcal{K}_j[u]/\langle u^4\rangle$ listed by the following table:

case	number of ideals	C_j (ideal of $\mathcal{K}_j[u]/\langle u^4\rangle$)	$\lvert C_j\rvert$
I.	5	• $\langle u^i\rangle$ $(0 \le i \le 4)$	$4^{3\cdot(4-i)}$
II.	4	• $\langle 2u^s\rangle$ $(0 \le s \le 3)$	$2^{3\cdot(4-s)}$
III.	77	• $\langle u+2h(x)\rangle$ $(h(x) \in \mathcal{F}_j^\times)$	4^9
		• $\langle u^2+2h(x)\rangle$ $(h(x) \in (\mathcal{F}_j+u\mathcal{F}_j)^\times)$	4^6
		• $\langle u^2+2uh(x)\rangle$ $(h(x) \in \mathcal{F}_j^\times)$	4^6
		• $\langle u^3+2u^2h(x)\rangle$ $(h(x) \in \mathcal{F}_j^\times)$	4^3
IV.	14	• $\langle u^3+2h(x)\rangle$ $(h(x) \in \mathcal{F}_j^\times)$	2^{12}
		• $\langle u^3+2uh(x)\rangle$ $(h(x) \in \mathcal{F}_j^\times)$	2^9
V.	6	• $\langle u^i, 2u^s\rangle$ $(0 \le s < i \le 3)$	$2^{3\cdot(8-(i+s))}$
VI.	7	• $\langle u^2+2h(x), 2u\rangle$ $(h(x) \in \mathcal{F}_j^\times)$	2^{15}

• Now, we list all distinct self-dual cyclic codes of length 7 over $R = \mathbb{Z}_4 + u\mathbb{Z}_4 + u^2\mathbb{Z}_4 + u^3\mathbb{Z}_4$ $(u^4 = 0)$. By Theorem 4.6, all distinct self-dual cyclic codes of length 7 over R are given by:

$$\mathcal{C} = \Psi(e_1(x)C_1) \oplus \Psi(e_2(x)C_2) \oplus \Psi(e_3(x)C_3),$$

where

$\diamond$ C_1 is one of the following 7 ideals:

$$\langle u^2\rangle, \langle 2\rangle, \langle u^2+2\rangle, \langle u^2+2(1+u)\rangle, \langle u^2+2u\rangle, \langle u^3+2\rangle, \langle u^3, 2u\rangle.$$

$\diamond$ The pair (C_2, C_3) of ideals are given by the following table:

number of pairs (C_2, C_3)	C_2	C_3
5	$\langle u^i\rangle$ $(0 \le i \le 4)$	$\langle u^{k-i}\rangle$
4	$\langle 2u^s\rangle$ $(0 \le s \le 3)$	$\langle u^{4-s}, 2\rangle$
7	$\langle u+2h(x)\rangle$ $(h(x) \in \mathcal{F}_2^\times)$	$\langle u^3+2u^2h(x^{-1})\rangle$
56	$\langle u^2+2h(x)\rangle$ $(h(x) \in (\mathcal{F}_2+u\mathcal{F}_2)^\times)$	$\langle u^2+2h(x^{-1})\rangle$
7	$\langle u^2+2uh(x)\rangle$ $(h(x) \in \mathcal{F}_2^\times)$	$\langle u^2+2uh(x^{-1})\rangle$
7	$\langle u^3+2u^2h(x)\rangle$ $(h(x) \in \mathcal{F}_2^\times)$	$\langle u+2h(x^{-1})\rangle$
7	$\langle u^3+2h(x)\rangle$ $(h(x) \in \mathcal{F}_2^\times)$	$\langle u^3+2h(x^{-1})\rangle$
7	$\langle u^3+2uh(x)\rangle$ $(h(x) \in \mathcal{F}_2^\times)$	$\langle u^2+2h(x^{-1}), 2u\rangle$
6	$\langle u^i, 2u^s\rangle$ $(0 \le s < i \le 3)$	$\langle u^{4-s}, 2u^{4-i}\rangle$
7	$\langle u^2+2h(x), 2u\rangle$ $(h(x) \in \mathcal{F}_2^\times)$	$\langle u^3+2uh(x^{-1})\rangle$

in which

$\diamondsuit$ if $h(x) = b_0+b_1x+b_2x^2 \in \mathcal{F}_2^\times$, where $b_0, b_1, b_2 \in \mathbb{F}_2$ satisfying $(b_0, b_1, b_2) \neq (0, 0, 0)$, then $h(x^{-1}) \equiv b_0 + b_1x^6 + b_2x^5 \pmod{x^3+x^2+1}$, i.e.,

$$h(x^{-1}) = (b_0+b_2) + (b_1+b_2)x + b_1x^2 \in \mathcal{F}_3^\times;$$

$\diamondsuit$ if $h(x) = b_0 + b_1x + b_2x^2 + u(c_0 + c_1x + c_2x^2) \in (\mathcal{F}_2 + u\mathcal{F}_2)^\times$, where $b_0, b_1, b_2, c_0, c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{F}_2$ satisfying $(b_0, b_1, b_2) \neq (0, 0, 0)$, then $h(x^{-1}) \in (\mathcal{F}_3 + u\mathcal{F}_3)^\times$ is given by

$$h(x^{-1}) = (b_0 + b_2) + (b_1 + b_2)x + b_1x^2 + u\left((c_0 + c_2) + (c_1 + c_2)x + c_1x^2\right).$$

Therefore, the number of self-dual cyclic codes of length 7 over R is equal to $7 \cdot 113 = 791$.

• Finally, we list some new optimal quasi-cyclic code with better parameter over $\mathbb{Z}_4$ of length 28 and index 4 obtained by Theorem 5.1 as the following table (existing optical parameter for $\mathbb{Z}_4$ code in [2]):

C_1	C_2	C_3	$\Upsilon(\Psi^{-1}(\mathcal{C}))$
u^4	u^3	u^4	$[28, 2^6, 24]$
u^4	u^4	$u^3 + 2(x^2 + 1)u^2$	$[28, 2^6, 24]$
u^4	u^4	$u^3 + 2xu^2$	$[28, 2^6, 24]$
u^4	u^4	$u^3 + 2(x^2 + x)u^2$	$[28, 2^6, 24]$
u^4	u^4	$u^3 + 2u^2$	$[28, 2^6, 24]$
u^4	u^4	$u^3 + 2(x^2 + 1)u^2$	$[28, 2^6, 24]$
u^4	u^4	$u^3 + 2x^2u^2$	$[28, 2^6, 24]$
u^4	u^4	$u^3 + 2(x^2 + x + 1)u^2$	$[28, 2^6, 24]$
u^3	u^4	$u^3 + 2x^2u^2$	$[28, 2^8, 20]$
u^3	u^4	$u^3 + 2(x^2 + x)u^2$	$[28, 2^8, 20]$
u^3	u^4	$u^3 + 2xu^2$	$[28, 2^8, 20]$
u^3	u^4	$u^3 + 2(x^2 + 1)u^2$	$[28, 2^8, 20]$
u^3	u^4	$u^3 + 2(x + 1)u^2$	$[28, 2^8, 20]$
u^3	u^4	$u^3 + 2(x^2 + x + 1)u^2$	$[28, 2^8, 20]$
$u^3 + 2u^2$	u^4	$u^3 + 2x^2u^2$	$[28, 2^8, 20]$
$u^3 + 2u^2$	u^4	$u^3 + 2xu^2$	$[28, 2^8, 20]$
$u^3 + 2u^2$	u^4	$u^3 + 2(x^2 + x)u^2$	$[28, 2^8, 20]$
$u^3 + 2u^2$	u^4	$u^3 + 2(x^2 + 1)u^2$	$[28, 2^8, 20]$
$u^3 + 2u^2$	u^4	$u^3 + 2(x + 1)u^2$	$[28, 2^8, 20]$
$u^3 + 2u^2$	u^4	$u^3 + 2(x^2 + x + 1)u^2$	$[28, 2^8, 20]$

in which $\Upsilon(\Psi^{-1}(\mathcal{C}))$ is the quasi-cyclic code over $\mathbb{Z}_4$ of length 28 and index 4 derived from $\mathcal{C} = \Psi(e_1(x)C_1 \oplus e_2(x)C_2 \oplus e_3(x)C_3) \pmod{x^7 - 1}$ using Theorem 5.1, and $\Upsilon(\Psi^{-1}(\mathcal{C}))$ has basic parameters $[28, M, d]$ if $|\Upsilon(\Psi^{-1}(\mathcal{C}))| = |C_1||C_2||C_3| = M$ and the minimum Lee distance of $\Upsilon(\Psi^{-1}(\mathcal{C}))$ is equal to d.

7 Conclusions and further research

We have developed a theory for cyclic codes of length n over the finite commutative locasl ring $R = \mathbb{Z}_4[u]/\langle u^k\rangle = \mathbb{Z}_4 + u\mathbb{Z}_4 + \ldots + u^{k-1}\mathbb{Z}_4$ $(u^k = 0)$ for any integer $k \geq 2$ and odd positive integer n, including the enumeration and construction of these codes, the dual code and self-duality for each of these codes. These codes enjoy a rich algebraic structure compared to arbitrary linear codes (which makes the search process much simpler). Obtaining some bounds for minimal distance such as BCH-like of a cyclic code over the ring R by just looking at the representation of such codes are future topics of interest.

Acknowledgements

This research is supported in part by the Research Fund for the Doctoral Program of Higher Education of China (No. 20120161110017).

References

1. T. Abualrub, I. Siap, Cyclic codes over the ring $\mathbb{Z}_2 + u\mathbb{Z}_2$ and $\mathbb{Z}_2 + u\mathbb{Z}_2 + u^2\mathbb{Z}_2$, *Des. Codes Cryptogr.* **42** (2007), 273–287.
2. N. Aydin, T. Asamov, The $\mathbb{Z}_4$ database,
Online available at: http://www.asamov.com/Z4Codes. Accessed on 2016-04-18.
3. M. Al-Ashker, M. Hamoudeh, Cyclic codes over $Z_2 + uZ_2 + u^2Z_2 + \ldots + u^{k-1}Z_2$, *Turk. J. Math.* **35**(4) (2011), 737–749.
4. A. Bonnecaze, P. Udaya, Cyclic codes and self-dual codes over $\mathbb{F}_2 + u\mathbb{F}_2$, *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory* **45** (1999), 1250–1255.
5. Y. Cao, Generalized quasi-cyclic codes over Galois rings: structural properties and enumeration, *Appl. Algebra in Engrg. Comm. Comput.* **22** (2011), 219–233.
6. J. Cui, P. Junying, Quaternary 1-generator quasi-cyclic codes, *Des. Codes Cryptogr.* **58**(2011), 23–33.
7. S. T. Dougherty, J-L. Kim, H. Kulosman, H. Liu, Self-dual codes over commutative Frobenius rings, *Finite Fields Appl.* **16** (2010), 14–26.
8. J. Gao, F. W. Fu, L. Xiao and R. K. Bandi, On cyclic codes and quasi-cyclic codes over $\mathbb{Z}_q + u\mathbb{Z}_q$, *Discrete Math. Algorithm Appl.* (2015), **7** 1550058 (9 pages).
9. G. Norton, A. Sălăgean-Mandache, On the structure of linear and cyclic codes over finite chain rings, *Appl. Algebra in Engrg. Comm. Comput.* **10** (2000), 489–506.
10. A. K. Singh, P. K. Kewat, On cyclic codes over the ring $\mathbb{Z}_p[u]/\langle u^k \rangle$, *Des. Codes Cryptogr.* **72** (2015), 1–13.
11. Z.-X. Wan, Quaternary codes, World Scientific Publishing Co. Inc. 1997.
12. Z.-X. Wan, Lectures on finite fields and Galois rings, World Scientific Publishing Co. Inc. 2003.
13. B. Yildiz, S. Karadeniz, Linear codes over $\mathbb{Z}_4 + u\mathbb{Z}_4$: MacWilliams identities, projections, and formally self-dual codes, *Finite Fields Appl.* **27**(2014), 24–40.
14. B. Yildiz, N. Aydin, On cyclic codes over $\mathbb{Z}_4 + u\mathbb{Z}_4$ and $\mathbb{Z}_4$ images, *Int. J. Information* **2** (2014), 226–237.